


Appendix A – Survey Results

Please indicate how you feel about each target




WINNIPEG URBAN FOREST STRATEGY

Phase 2 Public Engagement Summary

January 2023

Please indicate how you would prioritize each of the following when thinking about setting policies for long-term planning




WINNIPEG URBAN FOREST STRATEGY

Phase 2 Public Engagement Summary

January 2023

Please indicate how you would prioritize each of the following when thinking about setting policies to guide planting




WINNIPEG URBAN FOREST STRATEGY


Phase 2 Public Engagement Summary

January 2023

Please indicate how you would prioritize each of the following when thinking about setting policies to guide management



Please indicate how you would prioritize each of the following when thinking about setting policies to guide tree and natural stand protection




WINNIPEG URBAN FOREST STRATEGY


Phase 2 Public Engagement Summary

January 2023


Please indicate how you would prioritize each of the following when thinking about setting policies to guide future partnerships




Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft Winnipeg Urban Forest Strategy and its implementation?




What is your age?



Are you a homeowner or do you rent?



How did you hear about this project?




WINNIPEG URBAN FOREST STRATEGY

Phase 2 Public Engagement Summary

January 2023

Other responses included:




Appendix B – Polls from open houses & workshop

Open houses

Where do you live?


The most common answer is in the South West. The least common answers are West* and not residing in Winnipeg.

* Note: The 'west' option was accidentally excluded from the poll in open-house #1 and may therefore be under-represented in the result reported here.




Did you participate in phase 1 engagement in the fall of 2020?

Most attendees did not participate in phase 1 engagement (65%).



How satisfied are you with tonight's open house?


43% of respondents were very satisfied with the open house, followed by 39% satisfied.



Workshop

Did you participate in phase 1 engagement in the fall of 2020?


Just over half (53%) participated in one or more activities during Phase 1 of engagement.



- No I did not participate in any phase 1 engagement
- Yes I participated in one or more of these activities

How satisfied are you with tonight's event?

53% of attendees were very satisfied with the event, followed by 41% satisfied.



- Neutral
- Satisfied
- Very satisfied

Appendix C – Stakeholder Workshop Mural Board Results

Names of organizations that workshop participants listed:

Brook McIlroy
Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society - Manitoba Chapter
Glenwood Neighborhood Association
Jeffries Nurseries Ltd.
KGS Groups
Manitoba Conservation and Climate
Manitoba Master Gardener Association
McGowan Russell Group
Provencher Blvd. BIZ
Province of Manitoba
Scatliff+Miller+Murray Inc.
Sustainability in Action Facility (Biosystems Engineering)
T&T Tree Service Ltd.
Trees Please Winnipeg
Trees Riverview
Trees Winnipeg
University of Manitoba
Urban Forestry/DED Operations, City of Winnipeg
West Broadway Community Organization

Warmup comments

Tree protection on private land!
Making it easier for residents associations and communities to help out more
Empower residents to participate
More trees need to be planted
Stacey's group noted the absence of people from neighbourhoods without canopy cover

Goal #1 - PLAN

Are there gaps or implementation challenges to consider?

No shortage of policies and actions! The strategy covers extensive ground -
Too much to implement - how do we emphasize the most important items for council to be aware of and implement?
Strategy seems complicated + target is longer than plan implementation
What would a canopy target look like over a 20-year timeline
Action 1C - Socially equitable delivery is great to see!
Connect strategy with zoning! Examples of greenspace development being impacted by zoning requirements.
Can we require people implementing zoning to review the strategy? Connection between two documents would be empowering!
Boulevards trees + private trees adjacent to roadways - trees don't seem to win when there are competing priorities (e.g., utilities, sidewalks)

WINNIPEG URBAN FOREST STRATEGY

Phase 2 Public Engagement Summary

January 2023

On many projects, unless a landscape architect fights for tree they get removed - how can we ensure that forestry advises on development plans?

Current issue with staffing capacity; allocating some of those tasks to forestry technicians to review plans at the moment

Council + voters - technical language; didn't speak to overall vision

Imagine what Winnipeg could be - all brought into vision

Action items - consider proposed impact of action item = larger financial impact and timeline

How to we prioritize actions?

More ambitious targets

Make bolder leaps in a shorter timeframe

Emphasize sense of urgency

Trees became recognized more and more

Inadequate funding

Key plan - very important and where is funding

Operating to infrastructure budget

Secure funding from other levels of government

Recognize UF as asset = funding sources

i-Tree useful tool to relay to Council

Bringing more from background report into the UFS

Would like to see the list of must do drop dead actions at the top of the strategy - clear priority of the list of things that are essential

Wildwood - active community planting. Wildlife are eating young trees. Are there strategies on how to manage wildlife to prevent browse damage? Too many deer.

Targets 2065 - would like to know what we are trying to achieve in 5, 10 years - it's too far out to ensure that actions are taken in the near term

Too easy to push it back

1:1 sounds okay but if you tell the city they can budget 1:1 then it won't work - backlog plus

Want to make sure it's clear that 1:1 is not the only budgeted planting commitment

What are the top priorities or actions for implementation?

Action 2B Winnipeg charter is a top priority; policy 19 = top priority but needs to be enabled under 2B

Increase canopy cover by retaining trees! Do other provinces allow for fines if removals take place on private land? Would be helpful

Policy analysis #1 gap given most canopy occurs on private land means action 2B and all related points should be prioritized

Council-adopted targets + levels of service would help, along with a consolidated policy for tree criteria

Prioritize things already happening - meeting levels of service that are reported on regularly + brings accountability with regular updates

Other priorities will require more work to do the tracking

How will the strategy update actions over time? Conditions will change over the next 20 years

Collaborating with City departments - West Broadway tree loss - de-icer by public works

Implementation - any provision to protect those trees?

Could alleviate - make info easier to locate

Trees planted on Balmoral

Housing plan process similar - many departments involved

Insuring message is clear - tree work won't interfere with other City priorities

Impression when talking to City Councillors

WINNIPEG URBAN FOREST STRATEGY

Phase 2 Public Engagement Summary

January 2023

Tree bylaw is collaborative work

Identifying trees as city assets

Collaborate across departments

Need to spell out that tree bylaw would apply to trees on private land - and potentially any tree

Winnipeg puts boards on trees for tree protection - would like to see dripline protected

Arborists make plans with recommendations for tree protection - then the developers just do what they choose. Needs more follow up to actually ensure tree protection plans are followed through on

Recommendation that the arborist reports be done before the project is approved - Development Arborist

More outreach is needed to private landowners and industry in order to get them on board to increase canopy cover

Or even to maintain 17%!

Need to get people on-side to help advocate to get tree protection guidelines/bylaws in place

Goal #2 - PLANT

Are there gaps or implementation challenges to consider?

Education: drive awareness + encourage involvement at all levels from gov to community

Inform people on importance of collaboration

Underground structure review - consultants would like feedback from City about tree considerations - one place to access all of the tree information!

Community, consultants, architect, etc.

Make it a workable, simple approach that encourage people to use the system

New infill residents often remove mature trees before realizing it was a part of why they liked the area + moved in - more education needed

How can you have 1:1 replacement and catch up with the 1.5% loss?

Unclear when reviewing the report: doesn't come across clearly that all trees will be replaced

It is a 1:1 replacement of trees but not a 1:1 replacement of canopy (new trees will be smaller!)

In addition to 1:1 replacement, will need to plant >750,000 new tree plantings to achieve the target

Tree replacement - new tree isn't the same as a mature tree

Suggested wording update: "1:1 replacement to match the 1.5% loss"

Is replacement in the same area? Clarify the objective to replace in the same community!

Be bolder in 1:1 ratio - won't meet the replacement desired

Clarify the replacement ratio vs. vacancy planting

Survivorship isn't 100%

Equitable planting opportunities - tree planting on public/private spaces

Example: North End baldspots - high poverty

92-93% West Broadway Residents are renters

Residents would like to see more trees planted

Communicating with landlords/owners - provisions/grants available?

Elementary school - tree growing and planting; City policy - planting on vacant land

Risk in thinking with wins and losses; start

Marion Street and Archibald example of vacant land for planting

Partnerships are critical - group partnerships for planting

Example - friends of peanut park

Schools - Million Trees (15 schools)

Broaden flexibility on the size of trees planted (smaller to larger stock) - cheaper, easier to establish

Has there been consideration of a >1:1 replacement ratio - especially for development

WINNIPEG URBAN FOREST STRATEGY

Phase 2 Public Engagement Summary

January 2023

Planning tools - green plan (example from Germany). Required along side the development plan that homeowner has to plant per m2

Smaller stock catches up

Bare root trees - testing planting in parks

Education - trees don't harm, not nuisance

In streets, snow drift is a challenge for smaller stock being planted

Some trials with smaller stock are being undertaken in parks

What are the top priorities or actions for implementation?

Priority #1 = policy 6 - required to achieve much of the other actions! Also the most expensive

Policy 12 - solid idea! University class worked to identify areas ripe for reforestation. Included Sturgeon creek in west Winnipeg

Many riverbeds offer good soil moisture and less human disturbance - seems like a good priority for native trees to be successful and to increase canopy cover

Planting is a long-term project. Needs private tree regulations to support planting too! Carrots and sticks

Maximize health and life expectancy of trees

Establishing partnerships

Collaborating across departments

Replacement has been lacking - years behind in terms of replacement

Fundraising partnership goal to replant the boulevard - hoping that the City will partner

Goal #2 – MANAGE

Are there gaps or implementation challenges to consider?

Bunns creek park fallen tree issues have been resolved quickly where kids played, but some stands have lots of dead trees, but no one has removed them even if marked as yellow dot

Grand bur oak trees in surroundings may be damaged by spreading disease

Take care of the trees that we have!

Developer practices that harm trees are an issue - many small developers that don't know how to protect a tree!

Arborist reports should specify WHAT needs to be done to protect trees being retained AND follow-up on measures being implemented

Could the City certify arborists + report standards to ensure quality and follow-up?

Tree protection specifications have been created by City and being added to City specs guide

Include a mix of rewards and fines for developers that don't follow requirements

Tree inventory - Is this a snapshot to update?

Pruning cycle - surprised to see the cycle increase so fast

City staff damaging bark of tree when mowing grass = tree mortality

Solution? Education + shift in priority

Raising awareness of leaving spaces unmaintained

more awareness around the importance of naturalized spaces that aren't manicured grass might also help with that

Portable guard?

Why is the pruning cycle longer for park trees?

Young tree pruning cycle critical - emphasize

Street trees tend to require more frequent maintenance, dieback

WINNIPEG URBAN FOREST STRATEGY

Phase 2 Public Engagement Summary

January 2023

Shift in how we manage and maintain urban nature - reallocate efforts from mowing to tree maintenance
Larger scale - how we grade, prepare soil, landscape - being mindful of passive irrigation, soil, biodiversity.
More ecological aesthetics
Try to get people to naturalize their lawns and boulevards - also improves tree health
Many trees lost due to lack of watering - does the City monitor them? Contractors not following through on their obligations to maintain trees
Understory - do we put too much energy in mowing the lawn rather than letting it grow
Cost savings, moisture conservation
Purchase parking lots downtown and reforest

What are the top priorities or actions for implementation?

Policy 18 buckthorn is a priority for removal for Save our seine
Tree pruning
Minimize the use and impact of maintenance practices that harm trees
Clarify in UFS perhaps, this is an average cycle; ideal to visit for young trees more
Young tree pruning - prevent defects that are a fatal flaw down the road
Encouraging property owners to be caring for trees on boulevards
Programs to enable property owners to participate - adopt a tree example to prevent young tree mortality
Bylaws are top priority - won't reach 24% without those bylaws in place and enforcement!
Beyond 59 - was a huge oak forest cleared and nothing was done in terms of development. Why was it able to be cleared? 3-4 acres of pristine oak forest.
Interdepartmental collaboration - roads, sewers etc. so that the City isn't damaging its own trees
St Mary's interchange example - thousands of trees lost. 15 acres of trees

Goal #4 - PROTECT

Are there gaps or implementation challenges to consider?

Infill variance process used for pressure to keep pervious surfaces - programs with development + planning to increase permeable ground would benefit trees + rivers
Lack of tree regulations: Developers are cutting trees before applying for permits
Infill projects: doubling building footprints = less room for trees
Difficult to get commitment for tree protection action + recommendations from arborists
Issues: excessive roots pruning, stockpiling on critical root zone, etc.
Stormwater: less soil moisture + more flash runoff into rivers - need new codes + regulations to address
Could forestry provide enough guidance for planning to better implement tree measures?
City + private trees - 8 mature trees killed from operator
= need for education on training of excavators
<https://www.ccscheme.org.uk/>
Change the culture around protecting trees, on both public and private land
More beyond bylaw for training of contractors = accountability
Work on both; establish the culture that protecting trees is important, regardless of where they are
Bylaw for tree protection
Clearcutting on private land.....
Definitely work on changes to the City charter, but meanwhile look for workarounds; like the Considerate Constructor scheme, for example
Stronger language on climate change and connection to sustainable development goals

WINNIPEG URBAN FOREST STRATEGY

Phase 2 Public Engagement Summary

January 2023

Wish that there were people from other City departments in this workshop to hear these issues
Can this type of workshop be done with staff, Council?
Increase budgeting for treatments to maintain those mature elms and ash - lengthen life expectancy of more large trees
1 year warranty period inadequate for new trees - longer warranty period, especially for retained trees

What are the top priorities or actions for implementation?

Policy 21 - reference to green infrastructure, ecologically significant areas + proactive park areas
21d is key for tree protection in natural areas
21.f. Like the idea of a conservation fund. Priority to get funding for all those actions - this might be a good solution!
Make it easy for people to do the right thing!
Partnership priority is collaborating with departments etc. and community groups. Adding equity language to some actions like 25b make sure actions don't make equity gaps larger action 23c 25d etc
Private tree bylaw
Convincing the city to do what they need to do to change the Charter - to enable tree bylaw
Root protection - boards inadequate
Developers ignoring setbacks and construction is extending to sidewalk - trees in front of new developments are dying
Both developers and City - all types of infrastructure construction
Development can do well - soil cells and passive irrigation, stormwater interception. People will get excited about it. Selkirk model.
Development needs requirements with ramifications for not following through
Education regarding improved unit sales/interest with green developments
Create incentives for greening new areas with point system - requirement and incentive.
Incentives for retention to make sure they do it properly - saving trees better than trying to replace them for many reasons
Consider impact timeframe - whether proof of life 5 years later would ensure better outcomes

Goal #5 - PARTNER

Are there gaps or implementation challenges to consider?

Harness people who care about their trees + reward it!
Tree pruning - people want to help as a homeowner: could residents get \$50 to prune a tree to help free up city resources?
Less exciting to participate if you can't do things yourself and have to pay out of pocket
Can plant a tree at Costco for \$100 but boulevard tree costs \$800 - hard for people to comprehend!
Glenwood neighbourhood association occupied with infill, but lots of interest from residents to assist with planting
Neighbourhood associations + residents could greatly help with planting and/or watering
Could save the city time and money!
Grant to help homeowners pay for their tree maintenance alongside education would be helpful!
People want to help collectively as a neighbourhood organization, but also individually. In the 'partner' area, we need to harness this
"Tree stewards"
Elementary school - tree growing and planting; City policy - planting on vacant land

WINNIPEG URBAN FOREST STRATEGY

Phase 2 Public Engagement Summary

January 2023

Marion Street and Archibald example of vacant land for planting

Risk in thinking with wins and losses; start

Using existing networks

Community centres - good place to establish a starting point

Partnerships are critical - group partnerships for planting

Example: Wolseley - Tree Coalition to form an across - Winnipeg coalition

Schools - Million Trees (15 schools)

Green team for watering - option for expanding

Equipment for groups to borrow - lending library

Example - watering trees

Bring the exciting vision to the forefront of the plan that the public will get excited about - succession plan, cool streets, clearly tell Council the price they pay if they don't act

People don't understand the urgency if EAB establishes - elm loss has been slower, and people expect it to be the same

Stronger vision statement - clear alarm rung about what we stand to lose if we don't take these actions now

Should be citizens rather than customers - we're all part of our urban environment

If people don't know that they need to contribute to then 24% then they will never meet that

Partnership for Provancher Blvd - fundraising plus seeking City partnership

Hotline for residents to call in for issues about trees

What are the top priorities or actions for implementation?

23.a City forester to work with individual residents would be helpful!

Outreach strategy

Policy + set of guidelines

The city is great to work with when a partnership is established; hard to establish a partnership.

Need buy in - need to have a plan to raise awareness

Need to bring in partners for funding and other capacity - pursuing private funding

We need people represented from un-treed parts of the City

That's where the Million Trees Challenge wants to see focus

Appendix D – Open-houses Q&A session

Session 1

- Will there be bylaws to govern tree destruction during infill Projects. With real enforcement and sanctions for developers
- There have been many, many trees planted recently, and from my unscientific eye, at least 40% have died. Seemingly from lack of maintenance. It is sad to see. Is there a plan to properly water and maintain young, newly planted trees? - In Wolseley south of Pollack (?) park, also north along the bike lane
- Fantastic to see tree people. Is there a Councillor currently writing bylaw or sponsoring? Who can we get in touch with?
- I applaud the hard work done on this report, but the targets need more urgency and shorter timeline like 20 yrs not 40
- 1:1 replacement is woefully inadequate. Timeline is too long.
- There is a recent Stats Canada Green Space report that said Winnipeg is experiencing the second highest loss of green space in Canada, and they noted the loss of trees in Winnipeg is a huge part of this loss. How does this square with some of the findings in your report? It sounds like Winnipeg has more tree cover than Edmonton, for example but according to Stats Canada that's not true.
- Is the 25% cover to be achieved in 2065 apply to both city and publicly owned area or is it just city owned? Why does it take 43 years to get there?
- And don't we need to vastly increase our tree cover in order to be resilient in the face of Climate Change?
- Other cities have much tighter timeframes associated with their urban canopy targets - why is it taking forty years to increase our tree canopy by 7%?
- When I call the city about tree replacement, they seem to think trees are being replaced. We have lost hundreds of mature trees in Wolseley, and there have only been a handful of new plantings. Many have died. This is really sad, and certainly doesn't bode well for urban forests in the future.
- In new housing development areas, there is limited space in the construction of housing for tree development. Why is there no consideration in the planning stage? Occupancy verses green space.
- Could we have a tree hotline or special 311 line for reporting trees in need of water or other help?
- we had 3 new boulevard trees planted to replace the 10 lost. 2 of those trees lived. I was happy to see the city actually came by and watered the new plantings, but it was done from a truck with a big gush of water that didn't penetrate well. will you consider the use of the water bags attached to the base of the tree to ensure effective watering?
- Is it possible to involve local residents to help with tree maintenance?
- Can you produce a short, plain language report with infographics for the general public?
- Is there consideration for a cost share of new trees planted on private property? If I was to plant new trees on my property, is there consideration that I could pay a 50:50 (property owner: city) on pruning and tree care on a set cycle through private contracting through tenders?
- Will you also have a financial plan go to council to make sure there is money to do this?
- Does the annual mortality forecasts account for EAB?
- I have seen many cities require planting of trees, shrubs and bushes around commercial buildings and parking areas. It looks great, and significantly cools the areas. We don't seem to have any of these requirements and have acres of asphalt with no growth and trees. Shouldn't this be part of our plan?
- The fact we're losing big old trees, and seem to be poorly planting new trees, the 1:1 replacement is totally inadequate in terms of tree canopy growth. As well, it's the older (sometimes lower-income) areas losing big trees, and suburban growth getting new little trees and it skews the City-wide figures.

WINNIPEG URBAN FOREST STRATEGY

Phase 2 Public Engagement Summary

January 2023

- I just joined so I may have missed this. In some of our forested areas, there are still a lot of downed trees from the storm of 2020. When will these be removed?
- Why can the city still take money from developers instead of insisting on 13% green space and trees in new developments?
- Please post address where we can post our concerns about tree planting such as not watering or sitting unplanted for weeks.
- Along the Assiniboine riverbank, in front of Cornish Library @ Armstrong's point there is a cluster of trees planted. Do I have the City to thank for that? I would say our riverbanks e.g. Forks Riverwalk, needs more trees planted to succession plan.
- I am concerned that CofW parks workers are careless using their weed whackers around trees. They cut into the bark at ground level with the weedwackers (line trimmers). Would it be possible to discontinue trimming within 12" of the tree base?
- replanting doesn't equal 1-1 replacement - what about the backlog of 14500 trees identified in the report?
- Is there consideration for having bylaws to govern mature trees on private properties? Other cities have this.
- Too many of the items in the DRAFT Strategy talk about "explore" or "consider", when it needs to be IMPLEMENT.
- In the planning, how does the plan ensure there is growers to provide the required trees and engaging them longer term? Right now the city relies on what's available and doesn't necessarily plan based on future planning but contractor/designer "ideas" at the time. Are there tendering projects to ensure long term supply?
- Not including the EAB impacts again means the Strategy is too little, too slow - action to increase number and variety of trees will be good even if EAB doesn't arrive. So, the proposed planting scenario is even more inadequate. it all means Forestry needs more money.
- Where can we offer feedback to be most effective? Thanks for your work and recommendations to the city!
- When a yellow notice goes up for an infill can it include what trees the developer must retain so the public can monitor this? A project on Jubilee was supposed to save 4 Trees but the developer pulled them all down. There seems to be no consequence or recourse for the public
- Is any branch of the City involved in trying to get federal funds to establish a national urban park within Winnipeg?
- Municipal nursery!!
- There was mention in the presentation about working with other city departments on the urban forest strategy. Has there been any movement on working with Public Works on changing how they're de-icing the roads? This has been cited as a reason to not replace trees on bigger streets like Sherbrook and Broadway. Apparently, the current de-icer kills young trees. Any information on this would be welcome.
- Yes...the City used to have its own nursery - as did the Province, I think
- Yes to municipal nursery!!!
- Please keep up the good work we are passionate about our trees!

Session 2

- Regarding the 1-1 replanting target. I am so glad to hear that there are plans for improving the standard of care for young trees. But what exactly is the plan to address the 14,500 public tree replacement backlog? I do understand that not every one of those now-vacant sites are still suitable, but there must still be thousands of sites. Is there a separate planting program proposed to eliminate this backlog?
- Live in West End, very concerned about tree loss in neighbourhoods; disproportionately effected. Things identified in opportunities and challenges speak directly, climate change, equity. I don't see anything specific or vaguely that ID's

that in terms of all of the policy statements that were articulated. Where do I find in the plan? Where does the issue of equity be addressed in plan?

- Question on taking down trees; wasting financial resources and money on taking down trees. 20 trees removed and in 30 days; most could have been taken down in one day. Can you address finances of how it is working?
- I lived in Saskatoon for many years, and they have a strong emphasis on elm pruning bans on private land and elm wood storage bans. I am not aware of a similar program in Winnipeg, and I did not see that in this planning document. Was this intentional? Did I miss it? If it was left out of the plan, how come? Thank you!
- I have to leave but appreciate all efforts to preserve and increase tree cover. I've been impacted by the city changing its zoning so as to allow commercial/condo development and thereby destroy old growth healthy trees in the process. Will the city move towards compelling corporations to design densification projects AROUND trees rather than killing these relatives? I would support a moratorium on destroying any healthy trees, all further development respects their lives as we do our own.
- Amelia mentioned that the tree cover canopy goal of 24% by 2065 was ambitious. Do you think a 40-year goal is ambitious? Other cities have far more ambitious targets. eg. Vancouver is 30% by 2050, and Montreal is 25% by 2025.
- Biodiversity - not much in plan, talked about 2007 report on Naturalized Area; lack of info on trees that bring most biodiversity, how many insects or birds. Will this be remedied in the revised plan?
- So resources that would serve other needs in our constituency will not be met because our city councillor is forced to subsidize replacement.
- Will the plan be revised to include more current info regarding other cities targets? The current references give a distorted view of our goals vis-à-vis other cities.
- And there need to be mechanisms and consequences to not following through on planting requirements in new construction.
- Is there a timeline for a tree by-law to be passed? I estimate that over a 1000 tree have been cut in the Corydon Osborne areas over the past 12 years and they can never be replaced.
- I appreciate the city's intention to incorporate indigenous perspectives and reconciliation in this document. What could that look like? What actions would the city do to meaningfully engage in this?
- As a follow up, have any Indigenous partners commented on the strategy to date?
- To the bylaw, I think developers are laughing because they can get away with a lot. Jubilee property, multiplex, don't know all details but city said they would plant or retain trees, but they were all bulldozed down. No teeth to any of this - we are the fools. Devil is in the details - high level report. Tree bylaw is huge. Was there any mention for any incentives for private property? I think most of our trees are located on private property - is that correct?
- Trees Winnipeg ReLeaf program was designed to be accessible to low-income citizens without private vehicles.