

Appendix A – Promotional Poster

Building Public Engagement

The draft Engage Winnipeg Policy is here and we're listening. Be part of building engagement to be part of building a stronger democracy, a stronger community, and a stronger Winnipeg.



Public Workshop

Date: Thursday, May 30, 2019
Time: 6 p.m. to 8 p.m.
Location: Susan A. Thompson Building, 510 Main St.
RSVP to
City-Engage@winnipeg.ca



Engage online

Tell us about your experiences. Complete an online survey and contribute to the ideas board from May 16 to June 9, 2019

Why do we engage the public?



KEY

- Government and residents sharing information
- Recognizing value of different types of knowledge



UNLOCKS


- Better decision making
- Strengthened relationships
- Trust building

For inquiries, alternate formats, or ASL or French interpretation, please contact City-Engage@winnipeg.ca or 204-986-4243.
winnipeg.ca/publicengagement

Appendix B – Online Survey Results

Q1 How much do you value public engagement?

Answered: 120 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Highly – public engagement is very important.	75.00%	90
Somewhat – public engagement is important.	17.50%	21
Neutral – I neither value nor have a low opinion of public engagement	6.67%	8
Not very much – public engagement is not very important.	0.00%	0
Not at all – public engagement is not important at all.	0.00%	0
Don't know or not sure	0.83%	1
TOTAL		120

Q2 If you answered that you value public engagement, why? If you answered that you do not value public engagement, why not?

Answered: 104 Skipped: 16

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	ça contribue à la vitalité de la collectivité. C'est la façon que les citoyens contribuent activement aux decisions importantes qui les affectent.	6/26/2019 2:16 PM
2	La participation du public améliore le vivre-ensemble de nos concitoyens. Nous avons le sentiment de construire ensemble notre ville.	6/26/2019 1:36 PM
3	Because right now, public input is being ignored and many people are unhappy with the damage to their homes and properties, damage that was done without their knowledge or consent. Public engagement with enable building projects to proceed with respect and consideration for existing neighbours. It also enables a broader range of viewpoints, and allows more pros and cons to be considered on a project.	6/9/2019 5:16 PM
4	I value it because it "should" mean something, but it doesn't because anything that doesn't work for you ***** then it doesn't happen. Here is a good thing to change in this **** *!!!! The 311 system needs to be better, you **** * ** *, and **** * **** * and nothing changes. Dump that **** *, that right there is a joke, plus ** * ***** * ** * **, ** * , ** * .	6/8/2019 7:45 PM
5	The actual voice of the people in the community absolutely matter, and the city government is obliged to query, consult, and respect the community's opinion. Alas, in THIS city, this does not happen. At all.	6/8/2019 4:58 PM
6	Public has to have a say	6/8/2019 12:36 PM
7	Everyone has a voice in society.	6/7/2019 11:58 AM
8	I think it's important that citizens have input into matters that will directly affect them.	6/6/2019 8:09 PM
9	Hopefully the common man will be heard. However I also wonder if it is not a front so the process appears transparent when it is not	6/6/2019 7:16 PM
10	It helps ensure that ideas that weren't generated at the ground level have some sort of accountability and feedback to help form a good result. However, it also opens the door to people who just shoot down anything resembling progress and change because they don't like either.	6/6/2019 5:06 PM
11	The Public is the prime stakeholder, the only stakeholder. We are the citizens, the voters who "elect/appoint" all civic officers, pay all taxes and fees that finances the system, enables companies to sell services at a profit, including developers, who forget that if it was not for the public they would not have customers. Fees paid by companies, especially developers get a lot of attention, but in fact they are paid eventually by the public or the company goes bankrupt, and the public writes off outstanding payables and salaries. So the Public is everything.	6/6/2019 4:27 PM
12	important for decisions to be informed by a variety of people with a variety of perspectives	6/6/2019 3:49 PM
13	the city has made terrible decisions that are wrecking mature neighbourhoods. if only the city would welcome residential expertise, it would protect these areas, save money and result in better infrastructure. new motto should be: ask the neighbours because they are THE stakeholders.	6/6/2019 1:47 PM
14	Citizens of Wpg should have a say.	6/6/2019 11:07 AM
15	Often we vote in the elections and lose touch with the day to day affairs of our elected representatives. Maintaining engagement keeps the general public aware of what the government is doing.	6/6/2019 9:24 AM
16	Local government is the closest form of government to the people, so engaging with the community is important.	6/5/2019 4:14 PM
17	The public are a relevant group of stakeholders that are directly affected by CoW projects.	6/5/2019 3:36 PM
18	Because without public engagement, it's not a democracy but a dictatorship.	6/5/2019 3:29 PM

Building Public Engagement

19	The Public owns the city, how would the city know if it's citizens are happy or unhappy without engagement.	6/5/2019 2:31 PM
20	It is important for the City to know where the public stands on issues/initiatives.	6/5/2019 2:13 PM
21	Governments should make informed decisions that fit the community they impact.	6/5/2019 6:50 AM
22	There is value in obtaining the thoughts and perspectives of people impacted by policy changes. It secures buy-in and should ultimately lead to improved planning.	6/4/2019 7:21 PM
23	Improves City plans, improves opinions of citizens thinking about City government.	6/4/2019 5:44 PM
24	it important to hear what citizen have to say - it may be reaffirming or some good ideas develop.	6/4/2019 12:47 PM
25	TBD	6/4/2019 9:27 AM
26	I think it's important that people not just complain about things, but to take some action towards them. For me, being able to participate in public engagement activities is one way to "take some action" rather than silently being unhappy.	6/3/2019 3:23 PM
27	The elected officials and civil servants are to serve the best interests of the people represented. Not all issues are "ticket issues" at election time and each project or decision impacts the citizens. How else to determine the wishes of those impacted than to give them a voice or vehicle in which to express their opinions, wishes and ideas.	6/3/2019 1:13 PM
28	This is how issues in our community are found and can be addressed by folks at the grass roots level.	6/2/2019 10:52 AM
29	The various stakeholders - & City taxpayers should be involved in the consultations, to encourage them to cooperate with the City, & remain in the City's tax base: & not move to surrounding communities.	5/31/2019 6:53 AM
30	Public engagement results in better decisions. The City hears from diverse people - they engage in real dialogue to weigh pros and cons of different decisions - in order to govern in a way that benefits our society as a whole.	5/29/2019 3:53 PM
31	Residents are THE stakeholders. We have practical experience of our neighbourhoods. We have expertise the City doesn't have that could be valuable to managing our old areas. The City hasn't a flying clue (except your Office) about how to collaborate with citizens. Developers have run rough-shod over our City	5/28/2019 12:19 PM
32	I value public engagement because if city hall is accountable to the public and doesn't listen/take into account their input, then they aren't serving the people or doing what they were hired to do.	5/27/2019 7:35 PM
33	Someone has to keep an eye on out of control bureaucrats and brain dead politicians.	5/27/2019 3:00 PM
34	It is important to hear what the public thinks about public projects, however, I do not believe that they need to have a say in every public project. Some decisions need to be left up to experts, regardless of what the public thinks/says.	5/27/2019 11:09 AM
35	Apathy destroys democracy, an engaged citizenship ensures that priorities and projects are maintained	5/27/2019 5:46 AM
36	It is important to include varied perspectives and input from the community being impacted.	5/26/2019 2:48 PM
37	Public engagement is an essential part of the democratic process. People deserve to be informed and to have the opportunity to share their concerns and opinions.	5/25/2019 12:39 PM
38	It's how we build a city that represents the concerns and aspirations of our citizens.	5/24/2019 8:17 AM
39	Public Engagement is a necessary step in today's society. Historically, elected officials were the portal for representing the consensus of direction, today that is not the case	5/24/2019 7:31 AM
40	Residents who use various city services are aware of what works well and the challenges that could be addressed.	5/24/2019 12:40 AM
41	We need to be involved in our communities and our city, working together as a team to be successful and make change we want to see.	5/23/2019 6:11 PM
42	it allows everyone to have their say.	5/23/2019 4:25 PM
43	sdf	5/23/2019 1:44 PM

Building Public Engagement

44	I think that people that attend or involved in public engagement seem to be groups of public interest. which seem to be of one-sided views, not an impartial view. I guess the city council needs help in policy making.	5/23/2019 11:41 AM
45	To ensure that citizens know what's going on and have their concerns taken during planning.	5/23/2019 12:08 AM
46	City has not been accountable. Planning dept breaks City laws routinely. Councillors assume its ok and citizens have to reign them in by court action - *****	5/22/2019 3:22 PM
47	its a part of my job	5/22/2019 1:57 PM
48	I think public engagement can be important but it usually results in what we already know because the few participants come out just to bitch about their own point of views. If people came out and shared what makes their area special, how the area is used, etc. then whatever is being proposed can try to enhance it. instead it public engagement is used as a defensive mechanism.	5/22/2019 10:42 AM
49	We all live in this city together and an engaged and invest public is good for building a liveable city	5/22/2019 7:34 AM
50	because in a democracy we the public have a say in how we are governed	5/21/2019 4:28 PM
51	It's essential to the democratic process.	5/21/2019 1:53 PM
52	I think it is important to consult community members about the things that are happening (or are going to happen) in their communities. Communities have good ideas about what is needed and the engagement process gives them a voice.	5/21/2019 10:13 AM
53	We are the residents of the city run by leaders we voted for. They respected our vote they should respect our views and what we have to say.	5/21/2019 9:35 AM
54	I value public engagement because it enables stakeholders to learn about projects and have a say in a project. Sometimes, stakeholders come up with solutions that may not have been thought of for that local area, so it can be extremely valuable to a project. It also builds stakeholder confidence in projects. Without engagement, there is potential for projects to get derailed. Engagement can also provide feedback to stakeholder buy-in. Maybe you have the right project but in the wrong location. Or aspects of the project that need to be changed for it to be accepted. This feedback is valuable!	5/20/2019 8:12 AM
55	Residents know our neighbourhoods in ways that planners don't. We use them in all conditions, all seasons. We appreciate their features and we drive in the traffic. Please listen to residents. What may seem secondary elsewhere might be the essence of a particular neighbourhood.	5/19/2019 11:50 AM
56	So the public has a voice. You don't have to wait for an election to find out.	5/18/2019 6:18 AM
57	Public opinion should be freely expressed even if it may not be followed.	5/18/2019 12:17 AM
58	I am seeing poor management practices at City Hall. Infact, illegally overriding laws especially the variances	5/17/2019 11:10 PM
59	I hope that the City will listen more to what the citizens really want.	5/17/2019 9:01 PM
60	Public engagement helps shape policies, vision and the scope of projects, especially on the effect of these on local communities.	5/17/2019 3:11 PM
61	If the actions of the City are going to benefit the citizens, the City needs to hear from those who will be affected by its actions.	5/17/2019 2:20 PM
62	To ensure that citizens and administration have a common framework and reference point for participating in and understanding decisions about municipal services and governance.	5/17/2019 1:22 PM
63	Communication helps to break down barriers	5/17/2019 1:14 PM
64	I think it is important, but I still believe in expert opinion. City planners generally know what they're doing, and sometimes "public engagement" becomes a case of squeaky wheels getting grease, not necessarily the best policy for the city at large being enacted.	5/17/2019 11:40 AM
65	Mayors and Councillors work for Citizens, if they aren't communicating properly with them they can't effectively do their jobs. Councillors know this, but it can prove difficult, which is why it is important for the City to spear head it.	5/17/2019 11:25 AM
66	Public engagement ensures that the members of the public that are actual users have some process and input in the service they are using.	5/17/2019 11:09 AM
67	There is always more than one way to skin a cat. The PUBLIC are the end-users of the services and really are the ones paying the bills, so should be consulted.	5/17/2019 10:36 AM

Building Public Engagement


68	It is always a beneficial strategy to gather as much information about an issue as possible - especially from people who have enhanced understanding of the issue. The people who reside in Winnipeg know what is important to them. City Hall especially is elected to represent the citizens - not to be experts in every issue within Winnipeg. Additionally, the employees of the City are hired based on their experience and training in their particular fields and this should be valued and utilized in all aspects of City planning and decision making.	5/17/2019 9:49 AM
69	Public engagement ensures that expert administration is informed by public values and concerns.	5/17/2019 9:40 AM
70	I think it's important to citizens to provide input to help create the city they desire. It's important to the city to try to engage citizens.	5/17/2019 9:36 AM
71	It's important because for people to care about where they live they need to have a say in what happens there and feel invested in it.	5/17/2019 8:24 AM
72	My generation is increasing tech-focused and does not engage with elected officials in the same way as previous generations. Obviously we can't change the overall weight of one form of public opinion over another (e.g. in person visits to their office are probably most likely to spur change in opinion of a Councillor), we need to acknowledge the change in reliance on tech and make easy means for people to share their opinions. I feel that public engagement surveys online are an effective and high quality method of collecting data on various topics of public interest.	5/16/2019 9:35 PM
73	People who live in a community will often be able to identify their problems, the source of the problems and methods of resolving the problems. They would often be able to identify solutions that will not work in their particular community.	5/16/2019 9:26 PM
74	An excellent way to use the internet to allow concerned citizens to have input into city government policies and practices.	5/16/2019 7:17 PM
75	Because it is a critical piece transparent governance	5/16/2019 6:43 PM
76	Our City governance should represent the concerns, requirements and overall long term expectations of its citizens, while giving serious, professional consideration to the diverse opportunities &/or challenges arising out of our diverse cultures, geography, political and economics (local,national and global.)	5/16/2019 6:11 PM
77	Demonstration of democracy in action Civic governance dependent of setting of priorities not set by administration but by the taxpayers	5/16/2019 5:31 PM
78	There is no way to determine what is best for the community unless community members express the needs, hopes, and desires that come from their view of how they live and work in the city.	5/16/2019 5:15 PM
79	It is both my right and my responsibility to influence planning, policy and programs that impact my community.	5/16/2019 5:14 PM
80	senior Admin and Politicians don't have whole picture	5/16/2019 5:00 PM
81	Elections every 4 years are not enough, particularly when candidates don't speak to all the issues that matter.	5/16/2019 4:59 PM
82	I think public engagement is important when done right and for the right situation.	5/16/2019 4:52 PM
83	I believe that we hire public servants who are expected to be knowledgeable, neutral, effective and able to consider all sides of an issue with a viewpoint to what is best for the city as a whole - rather than trying to keep pockets or silos of special interest groups happy.	5/16/2019 4:52 PM
84	The city routinely ignores homelessness, poverty, disability, in particular chronic pain disabilities, (when it builds brick surface roads/walks rather than smooth surfaces, for example) and other things like this. Winnipeg could end these problems if it cared.	5/16/2019 4:52 PM
85	I think it is important that the City is transparent with the taxpayers. I think it's important to listen to the taxpayers' experiences in interacting with the City. However, I don't think feedback from the taxpayers is necessarily to be valued too highly, especially in large strategic matters where the individual's viewpoint is narrow or selfish.	5/16/2019 4:33 PM
86	Even though Councillors try to truly represent their communities interests it can be rather difficult at times.	5/16/2019 4:32 PM
87	Voice of the public/users of the services, in particular the voices of the disadvantaged are important to solicit	5/16/2019 4:31 PM
88	Decision makers need information from citizens, to ensure the decisions are the best possible for the affected citizens	5/16/2019 4:31 PM

Building Public Engagement

89	I think it's a chance to ensure projects reflect community priorities	5/16/2019 4:24 PM
90	I believe that everyone of goodwill has experience or information that can add to a discussion about shared assets, shared problems, shared space or a shared city.	5/16/2019 4:08 PM
91	Engagement is only valuable if it is done properly and consistently. The City of Winnipeg engages differently based on the outcomes they desire.	5/16/2019 4:06 PM
92	Because the people that deliver the service can speak directly with the people that receive it.	5/16/2019 4:05 PM
93	Our money, our decisions, our lives.	5/16/2019 4:04 PM
94	I think the public need an opportunity share their thoughts and concerns on regular basis not just at election time or wait until a serious issue needs to be addressed	5/16/2019 3:55 PM
95	Because politicians were not elected to listed fr as long as they have to to get elected then ignore people thereafter.	5/16/2019 3:54 PM
96	It gives people a sense of participation; that at least some government decisions are made with the help of our feedback. I for one, would like to know that the powers that be value my opinions, listen to them, and may take them into serious consideration should the occasion arise.	5/16/2019 3:47 PM
97	Elected officials in their offices don't necessarily have the same life experiences as Joe Public.	5/16/2019 3:46 PM
98	At this point, I do not feel that the city's public servants are working for all the people of Winnipeg. Several large projects that have been funded through the city such as Southwest Rapid Transit, Traffic Management Centre, Tache Promenade, etc. have not proven to be a good value for the amount of money spent and only benefits a select group of people.	5/16/2019 3:45 PM
99	decision makers should receive input from different points of view.	5/16/2019 3:45 PM
100	Consultation and engagement with community and stakeholders is necessary to grow and develop our city.	5/16/2019 3:44 PM
101	taxpayers must be involved and aware	5/16/2019 3:43 PM
102	public engagement provides criticism and alternatives that might not otherwise have been considered. feedback from groups impacted by change provides insight that planners may not have considered as they're not the ones directly impacted	5/16/2019 3:43 PM
103	The City is making decisions with big price tags, and should be guided by the wants and needs of citizens. The flaw in any form of public engagement, however, is that you will mostly hear from special interest groups who don't really speak for the public.	5/16/2019 3:40 PM
104	test	5/16/2019 3:39 PM

Q3 Getting involved in projects could be providing feedback online, attending an in-person event or being part of an ongoing committee. What types of projects do you get/have you been involved in (select all that apply)?

Answered: 107 Skipped: 13



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Infrastructure design (e.g. parks, transportation, facilities)	71.03%	76
Policy development (e.g. smoking on outdoor patios, vehicles for hire by-law)	37.38%	40
Long-term planning (e.g. OurWinnipeg review, Recreation and Parks Strategies)	55.14%	59
Community-based planning (e.g. Waverley West B Secondary Planning, Lord Roberts Community Traffic Study)	49.53%	53
I have not participated in any engagement processes	13.08%	14
Other (please specify)	20.56%	22
Total Respondents: 107		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Planification communautaire (p. ex., plan secondaire du quartier Waverley-Ouest B, étude sur la congestion routière dans le quartier Lord Roberts)	6/26/2019 2:18 PM
2	L.R.M.P.	6/8/2019 4:59 PM
3	Have input into infill strategy public sessions.	6/6/2019 8:11 PM
4	Development approval hearings and when needed Appeals.	6/6/2019 4:32 PM
5	building city-wide neighbourhood group networks	6/6/2019 2:18 PM
6	Although, smoking on Patio's s/b abolished.	6/6/2019 11:08 AM

Building Public Engagement

7	Board member of a community centre	6/5/2019 4:17 PM
8	Budget	6/5/2019 2:13 PM
9	Not here just moved into city lately	6/2/2019 10:54 AM
10	trying to persuade Councillors to behave ethically (ie to protect public safety, to care for old areas, to show clearly they are not on the take)	5/28/2019 12:21 PM
11	sdf	5/23/2019 1:45 PM
12	asking Councillors and their committees to behave professionally and ethically and lawfully	5/22/2019 3:23 PM
13	Eastern transit corridor planning	5/21/2019 10:15 AM
14	We have contacted our City councillor regarding concerns in our neighbourhood	5/21/2019 9:35 AM
15	cycling	5/17/2019 9:37 AM
16	Public library advocacy over 30 years	5/16/2019 5:35 PM
17	programs,	5/16/2019 5:15 PM
18	My only involvement to date has been through answering online surveys, which I consider beneficial to the information-gathering process.	5/16/2019 4:54 PM
19	access advisory committee, now gone.	5/16/2019 4:52 PM
20	Discussions with City Councillors. Gathering information about the city from 311	5/16/2019 4:33 PM
21	Writing policy for political parties at every level of government	5/16/2019 4:08 PM
22	tried to fight, with the Late.Coun.Smith the inane by-law about growing flowers on our boulevard.we were denied, now we call the ugly boulevard "The City of Winnipeg Rose Garden"!	5/16/2019 3:46 PM

Q4 Are there any projects you have an interest in getting involved in but have not engaged? If so, what was the project topic?

Answered: 54 Skipped: 66


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	rendre Winnipeg plus securitaire pour les femmes et les filles, principalement le centre-ville, la nuit	6/26/2019 2:18 PM
2	L'aménagement architectural, le classement des monuments et immeubles historiques, les services aux citoyens tels que les centres communautaires, les parcs (dont le parc Assiniboine). J'aimerais participer au Conseil des arts, mais tout en anglais. Pourquoi cette entité n'est pas concernée par les services en français?	6/26/2019 2:09 PM
3	Don't know as I am not aware of the projects that I can get involved and engaged in,	6/9/2019 5:18 PM
4	I have one. Doing something about the ***** who live at ** ***** ***. Police need to stop "favouring" them. We all know **** * ***. What a ****.	6/8/2019 7:47 PM
5	infill housing	6/8/2019 12:37 PM
6	BRT	6/6/2019 9:01 PM
7	No.	6/6/2019 8:11 PM
8	I would be more interested if we had more opportunities for input in whatever issue we are consulted about later. The process creates adversarial relationship and input, and is the primary cause of what ails Winnipeg.	6/6/2019 4:32 PM
9	i wish councillors would behave professionally and ethically - i'd like to be part of an action that improved their behaviour (eg. no more bullying, lying, cheating, stealing)	6/6/2019 2:18 PM
10	Any Indigenous issues	6/5/2019 4:17 PM
11	From question 3 - infrastructure design, policy development, community-based planning	6/5/2019 2:43 PM
12	Infrastructure design!!!!!!!!!!!!!! TRANSPORTATION	6/5/2019 2:33 PM
13	Since becoming aware of the city's public engagement newsletter, I've participated in all the surveys that I am interested in.	6/3/2019 3:26 PM
14	Arlington bridge replacement, Chief Peguis extension	6/3/2019 1:15 PM
15	Infrastructure design , long-term sustainable planning and community based planning	6/2/2019 10:54 AM
16	Implementation of infill guidelines.	5/31/2019 10:10 AM
17	Kapyong Barracks redevelopment	5/31/2019 6:56 AM
18	Infrastructure and long term planning	5/26/2019 2:50 PM
19	Infrastructure and policy	5/23/2019 6:12 PM
20	d	5/23/2019 1:45 PM
21	Waste of my time getting involved, don't see any good outcome of people getting involve, especially people with one sided views	5/23/2019 11:44 AM
22	Pretty much anything concerned with building a healthier, more vibrant city.	5/23/2019 12:08 AM
23	greenspace increase	5/22/2019 3:23 PM
24	yes bike paths and security concerns of the river path	5/22/2019 2:00 PM
25	Probably, but i don't know about them because they're not part of an open and transparent process.	5/22/2019 10:42 AM
26	Poverty reduction strategies.	5/21/2019 10:15 AM

Building Public Engagement

27	I would like to be more involved in projects to do with rezoning and infill, but I find the sessions are not advertised well and held on one date that is usually inconvenient or requires taking a day off work to be able to attend. Online engagement would be preferred so that people can voice their opinions. Without it, there appears to be support for projects, or at least no opposition, but people can voice their concerns if engagement opportunities are not accessible or advertised	5/20/2019 8:15 AM
28	Redevelopment of transportation.	5/18/2019 6:21 AM
29	Policy development. Projects prioritization.	5/17/2019 1:23 PM
30	Speed limit reform. I don't like 50 on residential, and 30 is too slow. They should both be 40. Some 50s would go to 60s, some 50 signs would have to go up, but I think the unposted speed limit should be 40.	5/17/2019 11:28 AM
31	SPEED LIMITS. Leave them alone. Do not lower them.	5/17/2019 10:38 AM
32	Future use of Sturgeon Rd vs Moray St and the extension of William Clement Parkway, Bishop Grandin, etc. And the future residential development of the area north of Saskatchewan, west of Sturgeon and the preservation of Sturgeon Creek in the area ie no development too close to the creek.	5/17/2019 10:08 AM
33	Not that I can recall.	5/17/2019 9:41 AM
34	I would like to be part of engagement about social topics like homelessness.	5/17/2019 8:27 AM
35	Identification of residential streets in most needs of renewal	5/16/2019 9:32 PM
36	infrastructure design; poor and frankly potential death dealing design of shared ramp crossings for blind and visually impaired people totally ignoring the CNIB paper on Crossings and APS implimentation. Over 10 years at least, the associated advisory committee has been less than useless; no info on how and why the APS, slow repair when concerns about defective units are reported.	5/16/2019 7:22 PM
37	No	5/16/2019 6:45 PM
38	Expanded Transportation corridors.	5/16/2019 6:14 PM
39	Transportation	5/16/2019 5:35 PM
40	I'm not sure I know all the topics that were presented.	5/16/2019 5:16 PM
41	Traffic study - for hire bylaw	5/16/2019 5:02 PM
42	- Impact Fee - Portage and Main	5/16/2019 4:56 PM
43	Budget engagements, some recent infrastructure design projects	5/16/2019 4:33 PM
44	New neighborhood development	5/16/2019 4:32 PM
45	Bot that I am aware of.	5/16/2019 4:32 PM
46	Public health as it relates to improving access to parks, trees, playgrounds. Seniors wellbeing in urban settings.	5/16/2019 4:08 PM
47	no. In my industry, the City only engages special interests.	5/16/2019 4:06 PM
48	Bus service overall and on Sundays and evenings. Plans that are made for Assiniboine Park and closing the Conservatory.	5/16/2019 3:57 PM
49	Interested in learning more about infill projects in my neighborhood.	5/16/2019 3:51 PM
50	I think would be good to have the public provide feedback on these "improvements" that the city supposedly have made. Rapid transit - waste of money. Traffic Management Centre - Lagimodiere and Fermor is backed up everyday, Bishop Grandin, Route 90, etc. The areas are designated to be an internal fast corridors of 80-90km/hr yet none of them actually flows due to traffic lights that are not timed properly.	5/16/2019 3:51 PM
51	Transit plan	5/16/2019 3:47 PM
52	saftey	5/16/2019 3:46 PM
53	Portage & Main would be the big one. Also, I am one of many people who think the City is only listening to a small, self-interested group when it comes to investment in active transportation infrastructure.	5/16/2019 3:44 PM

Q5 Why did you not get involved? Please select all that apply.

Answered: 78 Skipped: 42



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I wasn't sure how to get involved	34.62%	27
There was no opportunity to get involved	29.49%	23
I did not have enough information to get involved	29.49%	23
I did not have the time to get involved	23.08%	18
Not sure/No reason	8.97%	7
Other (please specify)	24.36%	19
Total Respondents: 78		


#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	J'ignorais qu'il existait un processus pour le faire	6/26/2019 2:18 PM
2	Il y a des séances de participation uniquement en anglais. Les annonces pour participer aux comités permanents sont en anglais. Le conseil des arts de Winnipeg semble fonctionner juste en anglais. Le "Assiniboine Conservancy" semble être une entité uniquement anglophone. Le Zoo de Winnipeg, c'est le Winnipeg Zoo : est-ce que c'est concerné par les services en français?	6/26/2019 2:09 PM
3	The forms and online system aren't the most user friendly or attractive.	6/6/2019 9:01 PM
4	You dont ask for input, only for comments which most time the listener is more interested in justifying his original idea.	6/6/2019 4:32 PM
5	There was no invitation to get involved.	6/5/2019 3:30 PM
6	I am not concerned for myself but the lack of engagement with parts of our population that use public spaces, parks, roads, bus stops and faces a number of barriers. No one asks them what they need from those spaces they use daily	6/5/2019 6:51 AM

Building Public Engagement

7	Sometimes I don't get involved because I don't think my engagement will have an impact. I state an idea; others state their opinion; the city goes with a majority or cheapest option without real dialogue nor long term planning.	5/29/2019 3:55 PM
8	loss of hope	5/22/2019 3:23 PM
9	not part of an open and transparent process	5/22/2019 10:42 AM
10	I would have gotten involved in the round-about at Sturgeon and Murray Park/Silver if i had known.	5/17/2019 10:08 AM
11	Sometimes, I would be more involved in person if the opportunities were not only in the evening.	5/16/2019 6:45 PM
12	Not obliged to use since teens	5/16/2019 5:35 PM
13	scheduling conflicts	5/16/2019 5:02 PM
14	I don't believe the City facilitated any opportunities for the City to get involved with either of these "projects". No a plebiscite does not count as meaningful engagement.	5/16/2019 4:56 PM
15	Having done a handful engagements, I found them time consuming and didn't feel that my feedback would make a difference.	5/16/2019 4:33 PM
16	Not always available.	5/16/2019 3:51 PM
17	There is no opportunity to actually rate the city services.	5/16/2019 3:51 PM
18	location and time of the open houses and pop ups were not accessible	5/16/2019 3:47 PM
19	health+age, city not safe	5/16/2019 3:46 PM

Q6 The following vision is included in the draft Engage Winnipeg policy: A connected city that incorporates shared community values and knowledge to make better decisions. Does this vision reflect how you see the future of engagement in Winnipeg?

Answered: 102 Skipped: 18



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes, this is exactly how I see the future of public engagement	33.33%	34
Yes, this is somewhat how I see the future of public engagement	44.12%	45
I am neutral	5.88%	6
No, this is not really how I see the future of public engagement	7.84%	8
No, this is very different from how I see the future of public engagement	8.82%	9
TOTAL		102

Q7 If you have a different vision, please tell us your vision:

Answered: 41 Skipped: 79

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Get people who have a ***** and a *** ***/****. Too many ***** who can't handle change in this *** ***/** city. Take a look at the streets, need I say more?	6/8/2019 7:48 PM
2	I see the future of 'public engagement' to be quite the opposite of the alleged description above. Actually, it will just continue to roll along as it has been. Bought and paid-for by the developers who make the actual decisions with pay-offs. In one manner or another, and another, and another.	6/8/2019 5:03 PM
3	N/a	6/6/2019 8:12 PM
4	BECAUSE THE CITY AND I HAVE A DIFFERENT UNDERSTANDING OF SHARED COMMUNITY VALUES. If shared community means St Boniface and St. James, and urban and suburban residential is the community who has shared values, and differences, that need to be understood to guide better decision making by those we elect/hire to deliver services and assets we need.	6/6/2019 4:46 PM
5	A connected city that relies on shared community values and knowledge to make better decisions.	6/6/2019 3:53 PM
6	a respectful city that incorporates neighbourhood evidence that is local, current & accurate to make better decisions	6/6/2019 2:20 PM
7	We can have differing community values and knowledge so there should be safe space for community members to connect, be respectful and make decisions based upon partnership, mutual respect and negotiating	6/5/2019 4:22 PM
8	Good luck trying to find shared community values. There are lots of people with different values who live in Winnipeg.	6/5/2019 3:41 PM
9	It should be added to the vision that it should include all communities within the larger shared community, such as the indigenous community, communities of people experiencing poverty or marginalization, communities of people with alternative lifestyles such as the LGBTQQ2SIA community, etc.	6/5/2019 3:32 PM
10	I believe the City of Winnipeg does NOT listen to public concerns. They have their own agenda.	6/5/2019 2:35 PM
11	Winnipeg is a diverse and divided City. You should define what "community" means first. Opinions are along a very broad broad spectrum. The values of someone living in a mansion on wellington crescent might very well be different than those of a homeless individual in downtown. The City should be able to have discretion in what policies to apply to specific realities that might apply somewhere and not in another neighbourhood on the other end of the city	6/5/2019 6:58 AM
12	I'm kind of torn on this because I don't think that community values are the always something that should be respected. In some circumstances we need to look at what evidence says and follow it, rather than asking people what they want. Change is never easy. When participants aren't truly informed about the costs and benefits of each project, the feedback you get may not be all that useful. So, all that to say that I'm really not sure that "the wisdom of the public" and their "community values" can lead to better decisions. (sadly!)	6/3/2019 3:31 PM
13	My answer was due to the past and current actions which I believe will continue with municipal actions being chosen in privacy with only influence from a chosen minority.	6/3/2019 1:17 PM
14	Engage in the grassroots of the different communities before making drastic changes that will effect t their quality of life.	5/31/2019 10:14 AM
15	Engagement needs to involve the sectors that will be asked/taxed to contribute to paying for projects & initiatives.	5/31/2019 7:01 AM
16	A connected city that involves community members to make better decisions.	5/29/2019 4:24 PM

Building Public Engagement


17	If only Planning had developed trustworthiness. Worrisome: define "connected" - too vague; "incorporates" means Planning still has complete authority to edit out citizen expertise; "shared community values" still leaves Planning in control of ideology-based decisions (eg. transit-oriented development, brt, building up not out all the while expanding huge suburbs). How about: "A mature city that collaborates with all residents and neighbourhood groups to make better decisions."	5/28/2019 12:29 PM
18	Generally I agree with that statement but I think it is too broad and can be used to justify a lot of actions that may not actually achieve the goal of city engagement. For example what does a connected city actually look like? If it means civic money spent on ads and apps that are supposed to connect us all but really only reach a select few then I am not super interested. Also what is a shared community value? How is that determined? If there are conflicting community values how will the ones that guide policy be chosen?	5/27/2019 7:49 PM
19	Needs to balance NIMBYism with good overall policy	5/27/2019 3:01 PM
20	Permanent residents must be a part of this vision as they are a huge part of Winnipeg's community fabric and should therefore be granted the right to vote in municipal elections as soon as possible. Otherwise you are not taking this vision of connecting the city seriously.	5/25/2019 12:43 PM
21	Na	5/23/2019 6:14 PM
22	it's not important to this survey	5/23/2019 11:51 AM
23	a city that collaborates with residents to make better decisions. that word "connected" is meaningless...sounds like Planning wrote it	5/22/2019 3:26 PM
24	The way that public engagement is currently done typically only includes people in opposition that are ill informed and look out for their own interests. It's not to say that their input can't be valuable but it certainly should not outweigh opportunities to improve this City for everyone. So in terms of this statement, how are shared community values and knowledge validated. Is it only those that share because they have the time to attend events or understand how to get involved?	5/22/2019 10:50 AM
25	that the City do less talking about engagement and inclusion and show this in the outcomes - eg. rulings at community committee level or neighbourhood plan decisions that reflect residents concerns.	5/21/2019 4:36 PM
26	I think that Winnipeg should be placing much more emphasis on sustainability as the umbrella concept for the future of the city.	5/21/2019 10:16 AM
27	Different communities have different values and needs	5/21/2019 9:38 AM
28	I have that same vision. My hope is that the engagement is used to make better decisions and not just lip service so the city can do whatever they please in the end.	5/20/2019 8:16 AM
29	What about to provide feedback on decisions?	5/17/2019 1:26 PM
30	I would use a definitive word like expertise or evidence as oppose to knowledge, not all knowledge is good knowledge.	5/17/2019 11:40 AM
31	Not everybody has a computer.	5/17/2019 10:39 AM
32	I believe this is a good idea but I don't believe it is happening yet. City Hall seems to be making the decisions - seemingly regardless of others knowledge and values.	5/17/2019 10:12 AM
33	A connected city that seeks out what our shared values are and realizes that none of us have made it until all of us have made it.	5/17/2019 8:32 AM
34	Of the 3 components of your vision I like "connected city". However, this is absent: There needs to be a tangible, concrete goal for municipal public engagements. Why do we build new bike lanes, redevelop parks, consider policing initiatives, neighbourhood secondary plans, tree planting? To make our city better or healthy or the best place to live. Our vision needs to reflect that. "Better decisions" being the outcome for the public engagement vision is vague, and, as said above, doesn't articulate what is meant for our city. But also, who determines what decisions are better? What are the decisions leading to? Who participates in the decision making? I also don't agree with "incorporates shared values and knowledge". What about other ideas that aren't repeated by someone else, but the public engagement had a low response rate, or a superficial level of input. Does that one-off response get ignored when it could be richly informative? Further, shared values and knowledge can lead to options or considerations, but it's not enough to go on to make decisions. And last, the 3 sections of the vision are 3 separate ideas, each far apart from the other in terms of the time they take and the nature of the activity, and they don't flow into each other.	5/16/2019 5:44 PM

Building Public Engagement

35	Never going to keep everyone happy. don't want to side track process because of special interests or input from people with an agenda.	5/16/2019 5:03 PM
36	Just because some citizens say it doesn't mean it should be incorporated. Maybe consider is a better word or acknowledges. Also, how do you get shared community values? We all know who is being engaged, do they represent the "community"?	5/16/2019 5:01 PM
37	The vision does not acknowledge a larger transformational vision for the City that also guides those decisions. Also, in a city this large, the amount "shared community values" is not as high as I think many believe. Particularly when it comes to larger initiatives that have winners and losers.	5/16/2019 4:41 PM
38	Stronger wording that would incorporate the meaningful engagement of all, including disadvantaged populations	5/16/2019 4:37 PM
39	Who's community? It seems that the city of cares about the inner city areas.	5/16/2019 3:52 PM
40	The vision, as stated, is just a little unclear to me.	5/16/2019 3:49 PM
41		5/16/2019 3:41 PM

Q8 The purpose of the draft Engage Winnipeg Policy is:to guide engagement processes between the City of Winnipeg, the public, and stakeholders in order to offer consistent and meaningful engagement opportunities which support better decision making. The policy recognizes that decisions are improved by engaging citizens.Do you support this purpose?

Answered: 103 Skipped: 17



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes, very much	67.96%	70
Yes, somewhat	20.39%	21
I am neutral	4.85%	5
No, not really	2.91%	3
No, not at all	3.88%	4
TOTAL		103

Q9 If you answered no, tell us the purpose you think the policy should fulfill:

Answered: 23 Skipped: 97


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The PREMISE of the proposed policy could very well be a great one. The problem is, once something is finally enabled it will be a FAR CRY from the original intent. And THE PEOPLE will continue to lose, and be forgotten about, and charged excessively.	6/8/2019 5:03 PM
2	less business consultants, more homeowners say	6/8/2019 12:41 PM
3	N/a	6/6/2019 8:12 PM
4	This is a condescending statement - decisions are improved by engaging citizens, not much more generous than asking monkeys how they want their zoo home design built. Back to the start of sentence "...City of Winnipeg, the public and stakeholders..." puts the cart before the horse. The engagement process is how the citizens, as the stakeholders (and those that bankroll every decision) ensure that The City - represented by its elected officials and appointed personnel, properly scope and define our needs so that those who will make a salary and a profit when they are hired, do what we want.	6/6/2019 4:46 PM
5	Stakeholders is not a good term to use as a stakeholder can give their opinion but someone or some entity ultimately decides what action is taken. Please use partnerships, mutual respect, understanding, decision making.	6/5/2019 4:22 PM
6	I don't believe the right individuals are in place to make this happen!	6/5/2019 2:35 PM
7	Meaningful engagement of not only people that go the extra mile to engage. It's also the City's responsibility to actively seek input from those affected by projects. I have been to many meetings but i have never seen a City employee trying to gather first hand what the homeless in downtown need.	6/5/2019 6:58 AM
8	to guide engagement processes between the City of Winnipeg, the public, and stakeholders in order to offer consistent and meaningful engagement opportunities that support dialogue about divergent and shared values, knowledge and priorities. The policy recognizes that decisions are improved by engaging citizens. One suggestion above. I think is very very very important to recognize that this isn't about one agreement/shared value/knowledge but the dialogue and learning from different points of views and then still having to make a decision. The learning from each other is a key part of engagement. But decisions will have to be made and some will be happy and others won't. Could be policy help describe how different engagement processes will weight concepts like fairness? It would also be useful to describe how 'interest groups' like companies compare to average citizens etc.	5/29/2019 4:24 PM
9	it may not necessarily support "better" decision making but more "informed" decision making. Public Engagement can prevent a better solution from being implemented as we have witnessed world wide.	5/24/2019 7:34 AM
10	Na	5/23/2019 6:14 PM
11	In the past we didn't have as much public involvement. We vote for mayor and councillors to run and make policies, not to have the public do the job of city council. I as a citizen of Winnipeg doesn't have the full picture of things happening in Winnipeg.	5/23/2019 11:51 AM
12	Who exactly are stakeholders? Why should they have a say over citizens?	5/22/2019 7:35 AM
13	but a guide is not a mandate.	5/21/2019 4:36 PM

Building Public Engagement

14	1. I think that "engagement" is only the first step, as the intention is to receive and consider citizens' input. (Isn't it? If not, what is the point?) So, I would revise the policy above as follows: (a) Replace the word "engagement" wherever it appears with "engagement and consultation". (b) Replace the last sentence with: "The policy recognizes that decisions are improved by engaging and learning from citizens" or "...by engaging and consulting citizens." 2. Moreover, why are "stakeholders" included as separate from "the public"? Isn't there only the City and the public (including people, businesses, organizations)? I don't know of any "stakeholders" who deserve to be elevated above the public at large. Frankly I find this concerning. Of course businesses are essential to a city, but no more so than citizens. The City and the public are businesses' clients, not the other way around.	5/19/2019 12:29 PM
15	This does not speak to the when and how of decision making. Engagement not just once, but throughout and after, has been rare. I am concerned that this will be overlooked, again.	5/17/2019 1:26 PM
16	The term "stakeholders" makes me nervous. I appreciate our business community, but overall benefit and not profits for construction companies, should guide policy	5/17/2019 11:42 AM
17	I support this but again, don't really feel it has been happening with the current City Hall makeup.	5/17/2019 10:12 AM
18	Public engagement that is inclusive and that leads to planning processes and decisions that will make Winnipeg a better (or healthier or more prosperous) City (or a better/great place to live, work and play)	5/16/2019 5:44 PM
19	I don't agree with the part about policy recognizes that decisions are improved by engaging citizens. Most citizens, like most politicians and almost everyone else, are looking out for themselves not Winnipeg. Most times the right decision is weakened because of a few citizens looking out for their own interests not the community's.	5/16/2019 5:01 PM
20	Sometimes yes, sometimes no. It should be acknowledged that typically the people with a surplus of time to engage with the city have some degree of privilege. Those that face barriers to engagement are silent, yet the city's decisions may negatively impact them if the process doesn't consider them.	5/16/2019 4:41 PM
21	engagement "for all" including hard to reach populations	5/16/2019 4:37 PM
22	There should be some promise that the public will be engaged on matters of great importance. Again, the Portage & Main debate was an avoidable debacle.	5/16/2019 3:49 PM
23		5/16/2019 3:41 PM

Q10 We have seen increased participation in City projects, but there are still Winnipeggers who do not get involved in the decisions that will affect their lives and communities. What are the biggest barriers to engagement? Please drag and drop so the biggest barrier is on top and the smallest barrier is on the bottom.


Answered: 98 Skipped: 22



	1	2	3	4	5	6	TOTAL	SCORE
Lack of time/other commitments	20.83% 20	22.92% 22	27.08% 26	17.71% 17	3.13% 3	8.33% 8	96	4.16
Access to in-person events	9.38% 9	11.46% 11	18.75% 18	28.13% 27	20.83% 20	11.46% 11	96	3.26
Access to internet for online engagement	1.04% 1	7.29% 7	6.25% 6	11.46% 11	38.54% 37	35.42% 34	96	2.15
Lack of interest	11.46% 11	12.50% 12	16.67% 16	15.63% 15	15.63% 15	28.13% 27	96	3.04
Lack of trust in the process	22.92% 22	25.00% 24	17.71% 17	14.58% 14	12.50% 12	7.29% 7	96	4.09
Wasn't informed/didn't know	35.79% 34	21.05% 20	13.68% 13	12.63% 12	8.42% 8	8.42% 8	95	4.38

Q11 We are defining meaningful engagement as meeting the principles in the draft Engage Winnipeg policy: accountability, communication, evaluation, inclusivity, knowledge and partnership seeking, representation, timeliness, transparency, and trust. Have you been a part of or noticed any engagement that you thought was meaningful?

Answered: 101 Skipped: 19



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Have you been a part of or noticed any engagement that you thought was meaningful?	1.98%	2
Yes, I was part of an engagement process that included all principles	13.86%	14
Yes, I was part of an engagement process that included some principles	31.68%	32
Neutral	29.70%	30
No, I have only been part of engagement that included a few principles	5.94%	6
No, I have only been part of engagement that included none of these principles.	4.95%	5
I have not been part of any engagement processes	11.88%	12
TOTAL		101

Q12 If you have been part of meaningful engagement, what was the project or initiative?

Answered: 47 Skipped: 73


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	logement et densification intensive de mon quartier.	6/26/2019 2:19 PM
2	Le projet de parc sur l'avenue River, à Osborne Village. La consultation était vraiment intéressante, mais nous n'avons pas été informés des résultats. J'ai l'impression que la Ville va construire ce parc, mais si ce projet ne tient pas compte des besoins des cyclistes pendulaires (cela est ressorti assez clairement durant la réunion). Où en est la Ville?	6/26/2019 2:11 PM
3	The project was the one involving infill housing. But not all the principles were incorporated, and the City changed its mind and did what it wanted too, against community interests.	6/9/2019 5:23 PM
4	Lord Roberts Railway Yards	6/8/2019 5:06 PM
5	Walking bridge across Assiniboine in Osborne	6/6/2019 9:04 PM
6	Infill strategy for City of Winnipeg	6/6/2019 8:15 PM
7	The biggest fault is the public is engaged too late - typically asked to bless a so called "expert" opinion, and if not to be equipped or time to challenge such opinion and resulting proposal. This applies to Planning, development, transit planning, recreation etc.	6/6/2019 5:05 PM
8	lord roberts traffic study	6/6/2019 2:20 PM
9	early stages of rapid transit development	6/6/2019 9:26 AM
10	something about bike lanes. it was held at the forks.	6/5/2019 4:00 PM
11	I answered neutral because I have only been part of the transit initiative that's ongoing right now and the project is young so it remains to be seen if they follow through on what the public has to say and it's very doubtful because politicians are in it to line their own pockets not to make their citizens happier.	6/5/2019 3:35 PM
12	Parks and Rec strategies	6/5/2019 2:15 PM
13	Different park redevelopments	6/5/2019 7:00 AM
14	Bus Rapid Transit, Bikeways	6/4/2019 5:46 PM
15	Winnipeg 2020 at library.	5/31/2019 10:18 AM
16	Transit routings + Community Center development	5/31/2019 7:06 AM
17	Lord Roberts Traffic Plan	5/28/2019 12:30 PM
18	Arlington Bridge wasn't bad but the end result of a ghetto being created west of Arlington and undisclosed adjacent traffic snarls was a terrible conclusion	5/27/2019 3:03 PM
19	Calling for the inclusion of permanent residents in the municipal electoral process, because without it, your calls for inclusivity and representation are utterly meaningless.	5/25/2019 12:45 PM
20	Wolseley Bike corridor	5/24/2019 8:19 AM
21	Chief Peguis Trail West Extension	5/24/2019 7:35 AM
22	Kenaston traffic flow	5/24/2019 12:46 AM
23	Na	5/23/2019 6:16 PM
24	sdf	5/23/2019 1:45 PM
25	No	5/23/2019 11:53 AM
26	Mostly proposed designs for various active transportation projects	5/23/2019 12:10 AM

Building Public Engagement

27	Fort Rouge Rail Yards developer-oriented transit, Transit's big expansions in Lord Roberts, Planning's Intro To Planning workshop (what a joke)	5/22/2019 3:29 PM
28	Eastern BRT	5/22/2019 10:52 AM
29	Don't remember but feel that the simple fact I was involved was meaningful.	5/21/2019 1:55 PM
30	Eastern transit corridor consultations. Dog Park consultations.	5/21/2019 10:17 AM
31	The Transit Master Plan (2019) online engagement (idea wall and map)	5/17/2019 10:55 PM
32	survey re: transit	5/17/2019 2:24 PM
33	Lord Roberts Traffic Study (ongoing) Grant Park Campus	5/17/2019 1:29 PM
34	I believe that my survey responses are read and considered, for all projects/initiatives I've participated in.	5/17/2019 11:45 AM
35	Expropriation, planning, assessment	5/17/2019 11:41 AM
36	Off the top of my head, bike lanes, Arlington Bridge replacement, some other transportation items	5/17/2019 9:43 AM
37	Osborne Bridge Rehabilitation Project, Neighbourhood Advisory Committee Pedestrian Cycling Strategies	5/16/2019 5:47 PM
38	Open house generating citizen input in new public library named for Norries	5/16/2019 5:38 PM
39	One of the online surveys for traffic changes, I think.	5/16/2019 5:19 PM
40	Met with city planners 2+ years ago it was a great and productive day.	5/16/2019 5:05 PM
41	I've been impressed in the City's engagement process for active transportation corridors and redevelopment of the East Exchange District	5/16/2019 4:24 PM
42	City planners engaged landowners in design and planning of future Area B of Waverley West.	5/16/2019 4:11 PM
43	I completed a parking survey, as well as one on housing development in the city.	5/16/2019 3:57 PM
44	Can't really remember...but took the form of online engagement. I think you do a good job of public engagement, when you do it. I'm just puzzled as to why you do extensive engagement on some things and but may not seek broad input on equally important or bigger issues.	5/16/2019 3:55 PM
45	There is no accountability.	5/16/2019 3:54 PM
46	Chief Pequis Trail extension, others	5/16/2019 3:48 PM
47		5/16/2019 3:42 PM

Q13 Would you recommend that friends and family get involved and provide feedback on projects that affect their lives and communities?

Answered: 100 Skipped: 20



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes, certainly	81.00%	81
Yes	12.00%	12
Neutral	4.00%	4
No	3.00%	3
No, definitely not	0.00%	0
TOTAL		100

Q14 Why or why not?

Answered: 68 Skipped: 52

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Yes, otherwise they could end up living beside a monster house that destroyed their enjoyment and equity of their home without their knowledge or consent. Providing feedback may be the only way to make the City realize that every citizen is important, and no one can make changes to their home without their consent.	6/9/2019 5:26 PM
2	Because the only things that happen are the ***** things, and things that matter are ***** as always. Gee, what a shocker!!	6/8/2019 7:50 PM
3	Simply because the people's voices living in the community affected NEED TO BE HEARD before the devastation begins. (devastation is also known as "development to generate income at all costs with no regards to the existing community")	6/8/2019 5:10 PM
4	We have a say in what happens in our community.	6/7/2019 12:01 PM
5	Because it affects all of us	6/6/2019 9:05 PM
6	If you want a certain outcome, it behooves you to get involved.	6/6/2019 8:17 PM
7	On short term we have occasional impart. On long term we might get city to change process.	6/6/2019 5:08 PM
8	neighbourhood experience is essential to making better decisions (that and improving the culture in the Planning/Inspections dept)	6/6/2019 2:23 PM
9	Apathy is a problem but the only way is to get involved	6/5/2019 4:29 PM
10	I dont think public engagement actually changes projects.	6/5/2019 4:03 PM
11	Because again, without engagement it's not a democracy it's a dictatorship. I've lived in one, I don't want to do it again.	6/5/2019 3:38 PM
12	It would help define the future of city projects.	6/5/2019 2:48 PM
13	Why should the "City" be the decider when it directly effects the citizens.	6/5/2019 2:39 PM
14	It is important to have your voice heard.	6/5/2019 2:16 PM
15	It helps decision maker make better decisions.	6/4/2019 5:47 PM
16	Again, although I'm not completely sure that using public input to make decisions is always a good idea, I think when the public is asked for their feedback it's a good idea to give feedback. You never know what themes may emerge when a lot of people participate.	6/3/2019 3:40 PM
17	Question is the answer... Impacts.	6/3/2019 1:23 PM
18	It directly affects their lives	6/2/2019 10:59 AM
19	Because it is important.	5/31/2019 10:20 AM
20	As before	5/31/2019 7:13 AM
21	I often tell others about surveys and engagement events so that they hear about different projects and provide input to improve decisions.	5/29/2019 4:33 PM
22	this is important to recover from the past decade of terribly destructive Planning (!!) and Transit (!!!!)decisions all over the city. We need a change of culture there.	5/28/2019 12:33 PM
23	because city hall cannot be trusted.	5/27/2019 3:04 PM
24	Because if we do not make known how certain projects will affect our lives, we might face policy that will adversely affect us - not necessarily out of malevolence, but because unique contexts were not made known.	5/25/2019 12:50 PM
25	Winnipeg has been currently building engagement decisions on the vocal minority and elected officials have reflected that support. A silent majority will no longer be adequately represented in today's world.	5/24/2019 7:39 AM

Building Public Engagement

26	Conversation leads to great ideas	5/23/2019 6:19 PM
27	d	5/23/2019 1:46 PM
28	Because sometimes people have a very limited understanding of a project; being able to ask questions and voice concerns is crucial, not just so everyone is represented, but so people can be fully informed.	5/23/2019 12:12 AM
29	a lot of people are disheartened	5/22/2019 3:30 PM
30	everyone has a responsibility to the community they live in	5/22/2019 2:02 PM
31	Yeah because we must impede progress and all change is bad! (from the perspective of a NIMBY)	5/22/2019 10:54 AM
32	People who feel empowered/part of the community are happier	5/22/2019 7:39 AM
33	Because it's essential.	5/21/2019 1:56 PM
34	Because I see that the public consultation department values public feedback and treats the suggestions seriously.	5/21/2019 10:19 AM
35	Have your say! Don't just sit back and complain. Try to change things.	5/21/2019 9:44 AM
36	If they have no participated and provided feedback, it is hard to accept their complaints afterwards if they had the chance to voice their concerns to make a difference	5/20/2019 8:25 AM
37	You become part of the process	5/18/2019 6:28 AM
38	I believe in participating in the process.	5/18/2019 12:28 AM
39	We need people's thoughts before projects proceed and not complaints after they are done. Also need all perspectives e.g. examining through lenses like gender, poverty, accessibility etc.	5/17/2019 3:15 PM
40	because the more people the City hears from the better will be the final decision	5/17/2019 2:26 PM
41	To ensure that their expectations are realistic, their expertise/experience is an input, and that their needs are being met. To reduce complacency and complaining.	5/17/2019 1:32 PM
42	You can't complain if you haven't been engaged in the process.	5/17/2019 11:47 AM
43	Not everyone should get into politics but the more engaged regular citizens are the better the City	5/17/2019 11:43 AM
44	you may suffer the consequences.	5/17/2019 10:42 AM
45	The more people provide feedback, the more the elected officials will be able to democratically represent Winnipeg's citizens - and they can stop having to feel like they have to know everything - they don't and there is no way they ever could.	5/17/2019 10:19 AM
46	More engaged citizens should mean more informed experts.	5/17/2019 9:48 AM
47	It's important to get as much input and as diverse input as possible.	5/17/2019 9:40 AM
48	You can't complain if you don't at least try to make your voice heard!	5/17/2019 8:39 AM
49	My particular concerns about the total built environment affect a variety of persons with mobility concerns; education of the general population on these matters will help all concerned.	5/16/2019 7:31 PM
50	Because it is a way for them to be heard.	5/16/2019 6:48 PM
51	The greater participation should result in the most satisfactory decision to be made in your community.	5/16/2019 6:28 PM
52	because the project affect their lives and communities	5/16/2019 6:07 PM
53	Their future may be negatively impacted if they don't.	5/16/2019 5:40 PM
54	If someone has a concern or needs more info or has some expertise in an area they should take an interest	5/16/2019 5:06 PM
55	I wouldn't encourage or discourage them. There's no harm in doing it, but I'm not confident it would be a productive use of their time. I think finding time to talk to a councillor would be more effective, but obviously that does not scale.	5/16/2019 5:06 PM
56	If it is City led, definitely not. If a public engagement consultant is doing it, maybe.	5/16/2019 5:05 PM
57	"...that affect their lives and communities"	5/16/2019 5:03 PM

Building Public Engagement

58	Only providing those involved are fully educated in the issue at hand, are neutral, and able to see the big picture. Providing information is good - making the decisions should be left to public servants.	5/16/2019 4:58 PM
59	Take advantage of opportunities that exist to inform the development of your community	5/16/2019 4:42 PM
60	Democracy requires citizen input	5/16/2019 4:35 PM
61	I think it's important for people to be aware of and invested in what's going on in their communities, and to feel like they had an opportunity to weigh in.	5/16/2019 4:24 PM
62	When opportunity presents to give your views, then residents of any community should participate as a matter of principle.	5/16/2019 4:15 PM
63	Because they can, and it's important. People of my vintage (over 60) have plenty of wisdom to share. IMHO, the cool kids, special interest groups and other shiny entities have too much influence at City Hall, and the only way others can correct that is to push their own views forward.	5/16/2019 4:02 PM
64	I would want them to, but I don't know if I'd bring it up with them. I don't know enough about where my friends/family could access information about projects that are happening in their neighborhoods. I don't even know where I can easily find out about mine. I guess the city's website, but few people will actively seek that out, unless they are very interested in the first place.	5/16/2019 4:01 PM
65	I think everyone should have a chance and a role in creating a vibrant city	5/16/2019 3:55 PM
66	If not, don't complain.	5/16/2019 3:49 PM
67	awareness and community input	5/16/2019 3:48 PM
68		5/16/2019 3:43 PM

Q15 As we continue to build engagement, we will develop a framework that will provide the 'how to' for meaningful engagement processes. If you were to recommend some next steps for improving public engagement, what would you recommend?

Answered: 74 Skipped: 46

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	-Donner assez d'avis (de temps) -se rassurer que l'information est accessible au public dans les espaces publics et organismes communautaires où vont les cibles vulnérables, les aînés et les parents, les lieux de sport...	6/26/2019 2:20 PM
2	S'assurer que des intervenants parlent français (si la Ville est sérieuse en voulant mobiliser la population francophone). N'oubliez pas d'utiliser des réseaux autres que Facebook pour recruter des participants (La Liberté, les écoles de la DSFM, les associations communautaires, Plurielles, le Collectif LGBTQ*, etc.).	6/26/2019 2:15 PM
3	advertisements in the newspaper, ads up in libraries, community centres and neighbourhood stores, phone and e-mail reminders to interested citizens	6/9/2019 5:26 PM
4	Truthfulness, Honesty, Respect, Diligence, and Trust. the 5 biggest things that the city of winnipeg doesn't have.	6/8/2019 5:10 PM
5	Have online forums about various topics for discussion.	6/7/2019 12:01 PM
6	Make the wording and system more conversational. People tune out when its verbose or overtly official	6/6/2019 9:05 PM
7	Try to make sure the opportunities for engagement are well advertised.	6/6/2019 8:17 PM
8	Redefine the purpose - already commented on - eliminate adversarial situations built in process - remember who you are working for, who pays your salaries and expenses.	6/6/2019 5:08 PM
9	manage the scope and frequency to avoid overwhelming individuals	6/6/2019 3:57 PM
10	ask the neighbours first, before any development plans go to the city and study the needs of every mature community so there is a solid guideline to follow and make secondary plans mandatory to follow (council just disregards them completely so why have them in the first place?)	6/6/2019 2:23 PM
11	People who get involved are motivated, so please get outreach to the marginalized as their voice is important to.	6/5/2019 4:29 PM
12	Consider how to reach the hard-to-reach populations. Maybe have child care at public events.	6/5/2019 4:03 PM
13	1. A large advertising campaign that has several avenues so to capture the most attention. 2. Real, meaningful follow through, transparency and accountability so to build trust. Trust in politicians is extremely low. It has to be built. It can only be built if politician's do as they say consistently and provide transparency for when and why they don't do as they say.	6/5/2019 3:38 PM
14	More public awareness advertising.	6/5/2019 2:48 PM
15	Transparency!!!!!!!!!!!!!! The Truth!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	6/5/2019 2:39 PM
16	More interaction on social media.	6/5/2019 2:16 PM
17	The City needs to make a deliberate effort in engaging with those whose voices go unlistened, whose needs go unmet and whose lives and experiences are being erased. If you want to know what people need from a public space, ask those people who use it and spend time in it. Even if they are drug users, homeless, people with mental health, it is their space too, they actually might spend even more time there than many others. Why do these people not get asked what they need ever? Turning your head to social problems is not a solution. Asking people what they need, listen and act is.	6/5/2019 7:06 AM
18	It's critical to get the word out in a manner targeted to the audience. For example I heard about the Wolsely bike path initiative via a poster on a signpost along the bike route.	6/4/2019 7:28 PM

Building Public Engagement

19	Better local notification: things near my house or workplace.	6/4/2019 5:47 PM
20	These two articles are a good summary. https://www.***** https://www.***** Basically, "[g]et on with the hard work of iteratively building a successful city. That work is a simple, four-step process: Humbly observe where people in the community struggle. Ask the question: What is the next smallest thing we can do right now to address that struggle? Do that thing. Do it right now. Repeat." I also think that as a parent with young children it can be hard to engage in person, which I think is probably the most effective way to be heard. Holding in-person sessions in a wide variety of locations and times THAT ARE EASY TO ACCESS BY PUBLIC TRANSIT, and making them child-friendly would help a lot!	6/3/2019 3:40 PM
21	A web page where the public can register to be kept informed of projects and initiatives to be able to review and become engaged .	6/3/2019 1:23 PM
22	Start at very small local level. Community news services/social media spots as first point communication to direct folks to city website	6/2/2019 10:59 AM
23	This should have been done 10 years ago before the damage was done.	5/31/2019 10:20 AM
24	Use suggestions & recommendations from stakeholders, & recognize them for helping, or take the time to review the decision making process, & the reason(s) the recommendations weren't used.	5/31/2019 7:13 AM
25	I think there should be a focus on sharing different points of view and then engaging in a dialogue about weighing the pros and cons of decisions. It can't just be hearing from members of the public but also stakeholders who might be experts in an area. And inviting experts to share different options so they can be debated/heard/discussed publicly. Everyone sharing what they think is best without listening to others is not true engagement.	5/29/2019 4:33 PM
26	Keep acting as scrutineers in public engagement processed already in place. Ask the neighbours all the way through the process.	5/28/2019 12:33 PM
27	I would recommend consulting with people on the ground who work at service agencies, neighborhood renewal corporations, and community organizations who work to engage some of the most marginalized, oppressed, and hardest to reach folks in Winnipeg.	5/27/2019 7:56 PM
28	Less reliance on online surveys etc. Inviting ALL neighbors to open houses instead of the divide and conquer routine we saw with the Marion Freeway idea. Less bias in the way ideas/questions are framed.	5/27/2019 3:04 PM
29	involve the public early in the process and be clear how their input is going to be taken into account (i.e. is the public just being informed about the project, do they have direct say on decisions, etc.) it is important that the public be educated about the process and what their input will mean	5/27/2019 11:12 AM
30	Outreach to overcome barriers that would preclude participating, such as language, accessibility to the Internet.	5/27/2019 5:54 AM
31	First, as I've already suggested, granting permanent residents the right to vote - taxation without representation is antithetical to the democratic process. Also, following Edmonton's example by adequately advertising changes being made within older neighbourhoods, so that modernization projects aren't experienced as jarring and so that public participation is encouraged.	5/25/2019 12:50 PM
32	go to the people. don't invite them to you. go to their communities and clubs. provide childminding, provide bus tickets.	5/24/2019 8:20 AM
33	Be true to the intent and purpose of why you are engaging people, it's not about having everything, it's becoming more aware of the 5 W's and if there are things being missed. All too often it can be interpreted as "I am here to totally change your vision and deny this opportunity"	5/24/2019 7:39 AM
34	More open community engagements, or surveys Luke this to reach residents. More detailed / advertised upcoming events.	5/23/2019 6:19 PM
35	sd	5/23/2019 1:46 PM
36	Have Council adopt IAP2 guidelines immediately. Train Councilors in behaving professionally	5/22/2019 3:30 PM
37	If it walks like a duck, talks like a duck, it's probably a duck. Be wary of the lunatics.	5/22/2019 10:54 AM
38	Have locally available opportunities for community involvement. In people's neighborhoods. And having child care available	5/22/2019 7:39 AM
39	show that when people get involved they can influence the outcome of a decision	5/21/2019 4:46 PM

Building Public Engagement

40	Increasing awareness on all platforms via an aggressive social media and advertising campaign.	5/21/2019 1:56 PM
41	Engage more frequently and provide more information on how to get involved.	5/21/2019 10:19 AM
42	Online is great for people with busy lives. Anonymous drop boxes for ideas.	5/21/2019 9:44 AM
43	Increasing access, Increasing locations that engagement activities are being held --> if it is a city wide engagement program, hold it in various venues across the city, and on different days of the week so people have more chances and opportunities to attend - have information provided online with a survey/feedback option. Have people provide their postal code so you know approx. Where they live to get better tailored feedback - advertise better. We do not have cable tv, do not read the newspaper and rarely listen to the radio. I only hear of engagement through friends social media posts (Facebook). This makes it difficult but I am sure we are not the only people missing out because of lack of connectivity - for things like lot splitting, there is usually of a sign put up in someone's front yard, and usually in the cold part of winter behind a snow bank. There should be a list online so people are informed. And if it affects neighbours (for lot splitting) a posting should be put in their mailbox so they are informed and can have a say.	5/20/2019 8:25 AM
44	Put the name of the project in the email subject & send a separate email for each project. People are busy and don't have time to open and read every email. Thank you.	5/19/2019 12:36 PM
45	Send out information to each house hold.	5/18/2019 6:28 AM
46	More feedback about the results of the engagement - what decisions were made and how did the information received impact those decisions?	5/17/2019 2:26 PM
47	More meaningful engagement with the media to help reach a broad and diverse audience. Simple and effective tools for departments for use in existing public communications channels.	5/17/2019 1:32 PM
48	I'd actually make it easier to engage outside of this process. I have a concern about a city issue that hasn't been part of the surveys I've done, and I find it difficult to get straight answers and clear information.	5/17/2019 11:47 AM
49	Online options	5/17/2019 11:43 AM
50	direct mail.	5/17/2019 10:42 AM
51	Maybe an advertising campaign to get people to sign up for online consultation?	5/17/2019 9:48 AM
52	That it focus on other topics besides (mostly) infrastructure. I don't really care that much about infrastructure. I care about tent cities, screening at the library, stuff like that.	5/17/2019 8:39 AM
53	If advisory committees are inflicted on the populous, the occupants thereof should be elected by the group they assume to represent. With reference to present ride sharing services, the APs are not truly accessible for those of us using speech output on our smart devices.	5/16/2019 7:31 PM
54	Maximize community awareness of topics being considered with overview of potential impacts and direction where additional information can be sought prior to engagement process.	5/16/2019 6:28 PM
55	bus ads, radio ads, TV ads	5/16/2019 6:07 PM
56	Don't lose sight of the importance of collaborative engagement	5/16/2019 5:49 PM
57	Reporting to Joe Q. Public what comments or concerns was generated after an Open house Complete the communication circle	5/16/2019 5:40 PM
58	follow the process you have now.	5/16/2019 5:06 PM
59	I've never felt that my feedback was acknowledged or considered. When I've participated, I've put a lot of thought and effort into my feedback. It feels like it just dies there - my feedback just gets filed next to everyone else's not matter . If my feedback doesn't match what the eventual decision is, I'm not told if it was considered and why the decision was different. I'm not expecting the decision to match my feedback always, but I have no feedback about my feedback.	5/16/2019 5:06 PM
60	It should be clear what decisions the public can actually impact and they shouldn't always be the trump card.	5/16/2019 5:05 PM
61	As you are already doing, a mix of options at different "intensity" levels. Perhaps some folks will do a 3 minute survey, while others would like a 3 hour public meeting.	5/16/2019 5:03 PM
62	remove barriers for disabled	5/16/2019 4:55 PM
63	Ensuring engagement of disadvantaged/hard to reach populations through creative solutions	5/16/2019 4:42 PM

Building Public Engagement

64	Much more lead time in notifying residents. This has happened on a few occasions to me. Getting one or two notice is not sufficient particularly in this busy world	5/16/2019 4:36 PM
65	More input earlier in the process. More open ended questions on surveys. A central location for engagement	5/16/2019 4:35 PM
66	Please spend the money on better letter writing and advertising via internet, newspapers and other media to tell the relevant population about the way that each can participate.	5/16/2019 4:15 PM
67	Guidelines as to when broad public engagement must be undertaken.	5/16/2019 4:02 PM
68	Announcements that the public would receive. e.g. in community papers/newspapers, on line, tv, on the news--where people actually look. Very specific instructions would be helpful. People need clear information that is easy to find and follow through.	5/16/2019 4:01 PM
69	More on-line surveys. People who work (and actually pay the taxes) do not have time to attend more meetings.	5/16/2019 3:55 PM
70	use new and emerging methods that engage all segments of our population and neighbourhoods, with special emphasis on underserved, disadvantaged neighbourhoods	5/16/2019 3:55 PM
71	There are differences between engagement and communication. Only engage on projects if the input will be used. Otherwise its communication. Communication is also good, just be clear on the intent.	5/16/2019 3:53 PM
72	open houses, online option, newsletters sent to study areas	5/16/2019 3:49 PM
73	local townhalls, day and evening slots	5/16/2019 3:48 PM
74		5/16/2019 3:43 PM

Q16 Do you have anything else you would like to add about the draft public engagement policy?

Answered: 38 Skipped: 82


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	pas à ce temps-ci.	6/26/2019 2:20 PM
2	The City needs to consider the public input seriously, and not as something that can be changed behind people's backs.	6/9/2019 5:26 PM
3	No. It all ends up in basket 19.	6/8/2019 7:50 PM
4	Accountability AND Liability. Construction damages. Increased crime due to "Rapid Escape Transit".	6/8/2019 5:10 PM
5	Not at this time.	6/6/2019 8:17 PM
6	Too far apart to add. Asking for a rethink.	6/6/2019 5:08 PM
7	thanks a million for all your work!	6/6/2019 2:23 PM
8	Have a plan for the not involved, the marginalized, oppressed please	6/5/2019 4:29 PM
9	Don't weight all public opinions equally. For instance, when discussing the Arlington Bridge, the opinion of someone who lives at the foot of the Arlington Bridge should count more than the opinion who drives over the bridge,	6/5/2019 4:03 PM
10	I'm tentatively optimistic but it is of such critical importance that this isn't just words it cannot be overstated.	6/5/2019 3:38 PM
11	Meeting for public should be advertised on more than just the City of Wpg website.	6/5/2019 2:39 PM
12	If the City is not able to do those kinds of engagements, maybe they can provide funding to community organizations who are on the ground and not scared to engage. This work done by community needs to be remunerated if done on behalf of the City	6/5/2019 7:06 AM
13	What "weight" will the public's input be given in comparison to other impacts / stakeholders ?	6/3/2019 1:23 PM
14	Put a moratorium on infill until guidelines are in place.	5/31/2019 10:20 AM
15	If engagement is just a facade, it is a waste of everyone's time.	5/31/2019 7:13 AM
16	I'd suggest focusing on hearing from those you don't normally hear from. And making it easier - offering bus tokens, childcare, including various languages/translations. I think it's also useful to reach out and involve the various community based organizations - use those already connected to people so that they can engage in issues rather than starting from scratch, use existing networks and communities.	5/29/2019 4:33 PM
17	use the IAP2 guidelines & aspire to be like Edmonton	5/28/2019 12:33 PM
18	No	5/27/2019 5:54 AM
19	Continue to be clear and concise to the intent and purpose of public engagement and build off of the successes you have had.	5/24/2019 7:39 AM
20	Na	5/23/2019 6:19 PM
21	d	5/23/2019 1:46 PM
22	NO	5/23/2019 11:54 AM
23	Make it very clear that being heard doesn't mean that the project will change. Unfortunately, the majority isn't always right, which is why we rely on leaders in the city to help us see a vision. I would highly suggest that engagement allows for the use of trial/ pilot projects to get buy-in. Moving to this "try it and see" mentality has been effective in other cities, and has allows projects to get done quicker and more efficiently, while making tweaks along the way.	5/23/2019 12:12 AM
24	thanks for your Office's work on this	5/22/2019 3:30 PM

Building Public Engagement

25	The manager of OPE is a babe	5/22/2019 10:54 AM
26	Implement a system whereby communities can request engagement forums in issues that directly affect them.	5/21/2019 10:19 AM
27	Please send the results of surveys, and the decisions that are made. It could be just a link to a website or news release. If we take the time to answer your questions, please let us know what happened next.	5/19/2019 12:36 PM
28	Give more weight to citizen groups and input than corporate. Corporate interests of course should be considered, but not at the same level as citizens' concerns.	5/17/2019 11:47 AM
29	Listen to the people.	5/17/2019 10:42 AM
30	That it should go beyond roads and dog parks and other brick and mortar projects. Not everyone cares about that, or feels like they have anything to add.	5/17/2019 8:39 AM
31	When public meetings occur, please ensure that the location is easily and safely negotiable to all folks I.E. sidewalks up to the door, no construction blocking pedestrian access, all required materials to be accessible to all BEFORE the planned meeting.	5/16/2019 7:31 PM
32	No	5/16/2019 6:28 PM
33	It's not strong. I would urge your group to continue working on it.	5/16/2019 5:49 PM
34	Adhere to it long term	5/16/2019 5:40 PM
35	Where can i read it?	5/16/2019 5:05 PM
36	Thanks to the city public service for trying hard to be effective and welcoming. Especially thanks to the people under 60 years old!	5/16/2019 4:15 PM
37	Not at this point.	5/16/2019 4:01 PM
38	test	5/16/2019 3:43 PM

Q17 What is your age?


Answered: 99 Skipped: 21



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Under 18		1.01%	1
18 - 34		17.17%	17
35 - 55		46.46%	46
55+		35.35%	35
TOTAL			99

Q18 How did you hear about this project? Please check all that apply.

Answered: 98 Skipped: 22



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Newspaper	3.06%	3
Public Engagement Newsletter	41.84%	41
Facebook	18.37%	18
Twitter	1.02%	1
Website	10.20%	10
Other (please specify)	31.63%	31
Total Respondents: 98		


#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	courriel de travail par une collègue	6/26/2019 2:20 PM
2	Je suis tombé sur ceci en cherchant autre chose sur le site web de la Ville.	6/26/2019 2:15 PM
3	e-mail from community centre	6/9/2019 5:27 PM
4	***** **.	6/8/2019 7:50 PM
5	email	6/8/2019 5:10 PM
6	work email	6/7/2019 1:48 PM
7	email updates of community groups	6/6/2019 5:09 PM
8	via email	6/6/2019 11:10 AM
9	wrha email newsletter	6/6/2019 9:27 AM

Building Public Engagement


10	Worksite	6/5/2019 4:29 PM
11	It was through my work's newsletter (WRHA).	6/5/2019 3:38 PM
12	Employment electronic newsletter	6/5/2019 2:49 PM
13	WRHA Employer Survey	6/5/2019 2:40 PM
14	Poster on bike route	6/4/2019 7:29 PM
15	via email from a colleague	6/4/2019 12:52 PM
16	Email	5/31/2019 7:15 AM
17	colleague	5/29/2019 4:33 PM
18	email from a friend	5/27/2019 11:12 AM
19	word of mouth	5/23/2019 4:30 PM
20	e mail	5/22/2019 2:03 PM
21	I signed up from the City website for all information	5/18/2019 6:30 AM
22	email	5/17/2019 11:43 AM
23	received an email	5/17/2019 9:40 AM
24	I'm on your email list.	5/17/2019 8:39 AM
25	Email news release	5/16/2019 9:57 PM
26	email	5/16/2019 7:32 PM
27	Emsil	5/16/2019 6:49 PM
28	email	5/16/2019 5:07 PM
29	email with link	5/16/2019 4:59 PM
30	Email	5/16/2019 4:03 PM
31	email	5/16/2019 3:49 PM

Q19 Please provide the first three characters of your postal code.

Answered: 96 Skipped: 24



Building Public Engagement



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
R2C	3.13%	3
R2E	0.00%	0
R2G	4.17%	4

Building Public Engagement

R2H	3.13%	3
R2J	1.04%	1
R2K	3.13%	3
R2L	1.04%	1
R2M	6.25%	6
R2N	1.04%	1
R2P	1.04%	1
R2R	0.00%	0
R2V	6.25%	6
R2W	5.21%	5
R2X	1.04%	1
R2Y	0.00%	0
R3A	0.00%	0
R3B	2.08%	2
R3C	4.17%	4
R3E	4.17%	4
R3G	6.25%	6
R3H	0.00%	0
R3J	3.13%	3
R3K	2.08%	2
R3L	15.63%	15
R3M	8.33%	8
R3N	2.08%	2
R3P	2.08%	2
R3R	2.08%	2
R3S	0.00%	0
R3T	5.21%	5
R3V	0.00%	0
R3W	1.04%	1
R3X	2.08%	2
R3Y	3.13%	3
R4A	0.00%	0
Other (please specify)	0.00%	0
TOTAL		96

Building Public Engagement

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Appendix C – Online Idea Forum Results

Online Engagement



Online Engagement is a great way to remove barriers to engagement. It is accessible 24/7 from almost anywhere.

❤️ 1 💬 2 🚫 0 ➦



Anonymous Citizen

Engagement should be available to everyone in the City not just those in the community that the project is in. Online engagement allows for everyone to participate.

↑ 0 ↓ 0 ➦ ⚠️



Michele Kading

Once again I missed an opportunity to attend a session and/or submit comments (e-mail dated May 23, in-person May 30, on-line deadline was June 9). This time, ironically, the topic related to the process of public engagement. The windows for public engagement are simply too short for people to hear about and respond.

↑ 0 ↓ 0 ➦ ⚠️

Lead by Example



The current leader of this City should lead by example. The Mayor has made several decisions with significant impacts without doing meaningful engagement. There shouldn't be different rules for the Mayor.

❤️ 1 💬 0 🚫 0 ➦

Appendix D – Public Workshop Results

Building Public Engagement – Public Workshop

Location: City Hall
510 Main St.

Date: May 30, 2019
Time: 6 p.m. – 8 p.m.

Table: #1

Task 1

Positive Experience	• Engagement being together in person • Workshops – talking • Open houses give chance to connect with project management and other people involved • Extended consultations when needed • Seeing own comments in report • Built-in policy in committee • Environment committee
Negative Experience	• Too much information (sticky's on wall) • Good working engagement/politicians mess it up • Window dressing goes no where • Pointless input because Council makes final decision • More explanation/rationale for veto • No visible success • Another level of speculation from Council • No show from people (priority populations) • Waste of time conclusion was like the starting point • Route 90 public consult was terrible • Lack of transparency, price keeps changing • Lack of information – not meaningful • Public advisory committee meeting only once in a year • Depth of consultation should match size and cost of project • Disconnect what is said at consult/level of action
Didn't Participate	• 9-5 meetings/committees and stakeholders

Task 2

Keywords	
• Meaningful • Proportional • Comprehensive • Representative	• Integrative • Timely • Acknowledgement • Pre-consultation
Vision Statement	
Early representative dialogue elicits meaningful input	
Differences	
• Informed vs connected • Diverse vs shared	

- Perspectives vs values

Task 3

Vision
An informed city that incorporates diverse community perspectives and knowledge to make better decisions.
Wind
• OPE + IAP2 training • Meet in where they congregate e.g. disadvantaged populations • Courtesy and consideration • Digital tools and information • Open to changing direction where plan isn't working • Early engagement with key stakeholders
Anchor
• Early polarization • Inflexibility/close minded • Missing underrepresented • Complexity of interests • Lack of resources • Unrealistic and artificial timelines • Using outdated standards
Rock
• Mistrust • Administrative inertia • Lack of training and education in public consultation techniques • Timelines too tight • Lack of commitment/follow through • Council ignores public consult

Building Public Engagement – Public Workshop

Location: City Hall
510 Main St.

Date: May 30, 2019
Time: 6 p.m. – 8 p.m.

Task 1

Positive Experience	• Rapid Transit: Online – graphics and interactive • Personal + vested interest • City clerks – infill housing • Good at helping follow process – email, phone • Hold appeals + hearing – in person • OPE = meaningful + appearance of scrutineer
Negative Experience	• Variance for lot splitting • Appeal board – no caring, no communication, listened to, consult not from developers • City not following own guideline or not aware • Feeling soured to City • All citizen not treated the same • Should not need to appeal to Councillors • Sense that this will appeal was approved before discussion • Can only appeal variance – but could discuss conditions • Difficult language • Lord Roberts – met with Transit + stories • 10 years at Community Committee – feel Councillor doesn't understand citizen involvement -> collusion • Planning not following laws • City Clerks not trained in judicial work • City staff give impression they're 'on-the-take' – should work to avoid that impression • Trust – experiences didn't increase trust • Decision makers don't live in communities where decisions made – need citizen involvement • Sleazy developers – they're in the know of how to make best for themselves/\$
Didn't Participate	

Task 2

Keywords	
• Collaboration • Trust • Education • More perspectives	• Honorability • Having a conscious Neighbourhood – all respected • Equality

• Engagement • Evidence-based • Respect both ways • Honest	• Context • Obey own rules • Poor process • Representation
Vision Statement	
Engage neighbors, mutual trust, honest evidence To add? Shared community knowledge Better decisions	
Differences	
Connected? • Could be taken literally • We connect in many ways beyond neighbourhood Shared values • Is this possible? • Too vague to be misused? Knowledge • Evidence	

Task 3

Vision
Engage neighbourhood for shared community knowledge to create mutual trust and better decisions with the City.
Wind
• Partnerships with existing groups • Infrastructure for strong notification • Passionate people who care about their communities • Early consultation • Courage for meaningful engagement • Funding for neighbourhood groups like R.A.G.S • Opportunities for in-person networking and input • Including residents in decision making
Anchor
• The City not following its own rules • Restricted opportunities for input – hearings • Lack of evidence • Ignored petitions • Gender bias (community groups lead by women) • Agism • ‘Done deal’s’ ahead of community input • Politicians are uninformed + disrespectful • Citizen feelings of frustration at proving time + energy in process
Rock
• Disappearance of OPE • Political change and corruption

- Policy not approved
- Infill guidelines not approved



Building Engagement

Public Workshop Workbook

Thursday, May 30, 2019
6 p.m. to 8 p.m.

Susan A. Thompson Building, former Grace Café, main floor, 510 Main St.

winnipeg.ca/publicengagement



Agenda

Activity	Details	Duration
Arrival and orientation	Time to get settled, move around the room and discuss some of the background elements with project staff.	
Policy overview	Go over vision, purpose, triggers for engagement, principles, levels, and roles and responsibilities	10 min
Task 1	Engagement Experiences	10 min
Task 2	Vision for Engagement	25 min
Report back	Reporter from each group to share vision statement and summarize discussion around vision development	5 min
Break (5 min)		
Task 3	Charting a Path Forward	25 min
Report back	Reporter from each group to summarize discussion	20 min
Closing Remarks	Thank you and next steps	10 min

Discussion Purpose:

With the development of the draft policy the City is building a strong foundation for engagement, with the understanding that integrating public input in our decision-making processes makes for better decision making. The purpose of the tasks this evening is to collaboratively discuss public engagement experiences and how we can do better to meet the needs of Winnipeggers and their communities.

Instructions

- This workbook includes three tasks:
 - o Task 1: Engagement Experiences
 - o Task 2: Vision for Engagement
 - o Task 3: Charting a Path Forward
- Discussion will be facilitated in a group setting.
- Each group will be provided with a facilitator who will record your group's ideas in point form on the paper and worksheets provided.
- Each group is asked to identify a reporter to report back to the larger group at the end of the session with a summary of what was discussed.

TASK 1: Engagement Experiences (10 min)

Goal: Learn more about Winnipegger's experiences with engagement.

Instructions

Step 1: Go around the table to discuss a time you've provided feedback on a City project. The facilitator will record your comments on the flip chart paper provided.

During the discussion we want to hear about:

- Your positive experiences with public engagement
- Your negative experiences with public engagement
- Reasons why you may have had an interest in a project but chose not to provide input

Notes:

TASK 2: Vision for Engagement (25 min)

Goal: Discuss the future of engagement in the City and refinements to the vision statement for the draft Engage Winnipeg policy.

Instructions

The first step of this activity is modeled after writer Ernest Hemingway's six-word story, known for its use of precise use language:

For sale: baby shoes, never worn.

Step 1: As a group write a six-word story that represents the future of engagement in the City of Winnipeg.

Tip: It can help to start by brainstorming words as a group first, and then narrow down your sentence and record it on the sheet provided.

Step 2: Your facilitator will now share the current vision statement included in the draft Engage Winnipeg policy.

Step 3: What is different between what your group developed and the draft statement provided? What elements of the draft statement would your group change?

Step 4: Record you updated vision statement on the sticky note provided.

Notes:

TASK 3: Charting a Path Forward (25 min)

Goal: How do we take Winnipeg from our current environment of citizen participation to our vision for an engaged City of the future?

Instructions

This activity follows a metaphor:



The boat = where we are now



The island = your vision statement for public engagement



The anchor = what is currently holding us back from getting to that vision

Example: *Currently, some residents don't get involved because they don't trust their feedback will be used meaningfully.*



The wind = what will help us get to that vision statement

Example: *Improved reporting and 'closing the loop' with participants to show how their feedback was considered.*



The rocks = what obstacles could take us off path on our way to that vision

Example: *Participant exhaustion, due to many opportunities to provide input.*

Step 1: Place the sticky note with you updated vision statement above the island on the worksheet provided.

Step 2: As a group start your discussion at the anchor. Discuss what is currently holding us back from where we want to be. The recorder will record your points around the anchor.

Step 3: Repeat step 2 with the wind and then the rocks.

During discussion, consider:

- Why do people not get involved in City decision making?
- How can the City support capacity building in other areas of the community?
- Who would be responsible for initiating or investigating solutions?

Notes:
